New families of Strictly optimal Frequency hopping sequence sets

Jingjun Bao

arXiv:1610.03587v1 [cs.IT] 12 Oct 2016

Abstract

Frequency hopping sequences (FHSs) with favorable partial Hamming correlation properties have important applications in many synchronization and multiple-access systems. In this paper, we investigate constructions of FHS sets with optimal partial Hamming correlation. We present several direct constructions for balanced nested cyclic difference packings (BNCDPs) and balanced nested cyclic relative difference packings (BNCRDPs) such that both of them have a special property by using trace functions and discrete logarithm. We also show three recursive constructions for FHS sets with partial Hamming correlation, which are based on cyclic difference matrices and discrete logarithm. Combing these BNCDPs, BNCRDPs and three recursive constructions, we obtain infinitely many new strictly optimal FHS sets with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds.

Index Terms

Frequency hopping sequences (FHSs), partial Hamming correlation, partition-type cyclic difference packings, partition-type cyclic relative difference packings.

I. Introduction

Frequency hopping (FH) multiple-access is widely used in the modern communication systems such as ultraw-ideband (UWB), military communications, Bluetooth and so on, for example, [3], [17], [24]. In FH multiple-access communication systems, frequency hopping sequences are employed to specify the frequency on which each sender transmits a message at any given time. An important component of FH spread-spectrum systems is a family of sequences having good correlation properties for sequence length over suitable number of available frequencies. The optimality of correlation properties is usually measured according to the well-known Lempel-Greenberger bound [21] and Peng-Fan bounds [22]. During these decades, many algebraic or combinatorial constructions for FHSs or FHS sets meeting these bounds have been proposed, see [2], [6]-[10], [12]-[14], [18], [19]-[20], [25]-[28], and the references therein.

Compared with the traditional periodic Hamming correlation, the partial Hamming correlation of FHSs is much less well studied. Nevertheless, in many application scenarios where the synchronization time is limited or the

J. Bao is with the Department of Mathematics, Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, P. R. China. E-mail: baojingjun@hotmail.com.

hardware is complex [15], the length of a correlation window should be much shorter than the period of the chosen FHSs [15]. Therefore, the partial Hamming correlation, rather than the periodic Hamming correlation, will paly a major role in determining the performance.

In recent years, a little progress on the study of the partial Hamming correlation of FHSs has been made. In 2004, Eun et al. [15] generalized the Lempel-Greenberger bound on the periodic Hamming autocorrelation to the case of partial Hamming autocorrelation, and obtained a class of FHSs with optimal partial autocorrelation [23]. In 2012, Zhou et al. [29] extended the Peng-Fan bounds on the periodic Hamming correlation of FHS sets to the case of partial Hamming correlation. Based on the so-called array structure, Zhou et al. [29] constructed both individual FHSs and FHS sets with optimal partial Hamming correlation. In 2014, Cai et al. [4] improved lower bounds on the partial Hamming correlation of FHSs and FHS sets, and based on generalized cyclotomy, they constructed FHS sets with optimal partial Hamming correlation. Very recently, Cai et al. [5] derived upper bounds on the family sizes of FHS sets with respect to partial Hamming correlation from some classical bounds on error-correcting codes, and they presented strictly optimal FHS sets having optimal family sizes with respect to one of the new bounds. Fan et al. [16] established a generic connection between strictly optimal FHSs and disjoint cyclic perfect Mendelsohn difference families. Bao et al. [1] established a correspondence between FHS sets with optimal partial Hamming correlation and multiple partition-type balanced nested cyclic difference packings with a special property. By virtue of this correspondence, they obtained some classes of strictly optimal FHSs and FHS sets by cyclotomic classes, they presented two recursive constructions for strictly optimal FHS sets and they also yielded some classes of strictly optimal FHS sets by these recursive constructions.

In this paper, we present some constructions for FHS sets with optimal partial Hamming correlation. First of all, We present several direct constructions for BNCDPs and BNCRDPs such that both of them have a special property by using trace functions and discrete logarithm. Next, we present three recursive constructions for FHS sets with partial Hamming correlation. Combing these BNCDPs, BNCRDPs and three recursive constructions, we yield infinitely many strictly optimal FHS sets with new and flexible parameters not covered in the literature. The parameters of FHS sets with optimal partial Hamming correlation from the known results and the new ones are listed in the Table I and Table II, respectively.

The outline of the paper is as follows. Section II introduces the known bounds on the partial Hamming correlation of FHSs and FHS sets. Section III presents several direct constructions for BNCDPs and BNCRDPs such that both of them have a special property by using trace functions and discrete logarithm. Section IV presents three recursive constructions of FHS sets with partial Hamming correlation. Section V concludes this paper with some remarks.

II. Lower bounds on the partial Hamming correlation of FHSs and FHS sets

In this section, we introduce some known lower bounds on the partial Hamming correlation of FHSs and FHS sets.

For any positive integer $l \geq 2$, let $F = \{f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{l-1}\}$ be a set of l available frequencies, also called an *alphabet*. A sequence $X = \{x(t)\}_{t=0}^{n-1}$ is called a *frequency hopping sequence* (FHS) of length n over F if

TABLE I

SOME KNOWN FHS SETs WITH OPTIMAL PARTIAL HAMMING CORRELATION

Length	Alphabet size	H_{max} over correlation window of length L	Number of sequences	Constraints	Source
$\frac{q^m-1}{d}$	q^{m-1}	$\left\lceil \frac{L(q-1)}{q^m-1} \right\rceil$	d	$d\|(q-1)$, $\gcd(d,m)=1$	[29]
ev	v	$\lceil \frac{L}{v} \rceil$	f		[4]
$p(p^m-1)$	p^m	$\left\lceil \frac{L}{p^m-1} \right\rceil$	p^{m-1}	$m \geq 2$	[5]
evw	$(v-1)w+\frac{ew}{r}$	$\lceil \frac{L}{vw} \rceil$	f	$q_1 \geq p_1 > 2e$, $v \geq \frac{p_1 e}{r}$ and $gcd(w,e)=1$	[1]
$v\frac{q^m-1}{d}$	vq^{m-1}	$\left\lceil \frac{(q-1)L}{v(q^m-1)} \right\rceil$	d	$m>1$, $q^m \leq p_1$ and $gcd(d,m)=1$, $d\|q-1$, $\frac{q^m-1}{d}\|p_i-1$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$	[1]

q is a prime power and p is a prime;

v is an integer with prime factor decomposition $v = p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \cdots p_s^{m_s}$ with $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_s$;

e, f are integers such that $e > 1$ and $e|gcd(p_1-1, p_2-1, \ldots, p_s-1)$, and $f = \frac{p_1-1}{e}$;

w is an integer with prime factor decomposition $w = q_1^{n_1} q_2^{n_2} \cdots q_t^{n_t}$ with $q_1 < q_2 < \cdots < q_t$;

r is an integer such that $r > 1$ and $r|gcd(e, q_1-1, q_2-1, \ldots, q_t-1)$;

d, m are positive integers.

$x(t) \in F$ for all $0 \leq t \leq n-1$. For any two FHSs $X = \{x(t)\}_{t=0}^{n-1}$ and $Y = \{y(t)\}_{t=0}^{n-1}$ of length n over F, the *partial Hamming correlation* function of X and Y for a correlation window length L starting at j is defined by

$$H_{X,Y}(\tau; j|L) = \sum_{t=j}^{j+L-1} h[x(t), y(t+\tau)], \tag{1}$$

where τ, L, j are integers with $1 \leq L \leq n$, $0 \leq \tau, j < n$, $h[a,b] = 1$ if $a = b$ and 0 otherwise, and the addition is performed modulo n. In particular, if $L = n$, the partial Hamming correlation function defined in (1) becomes the *conventional periodic Hamming correlation* [21]. If $x(t) = y(t)$ for all $0 \leq t \leq n-1$, i.e., $X = Y$, we call $H_{X,X}(\tau; j|L)$ the *partial Hamming autocorrelation* of X; otherwise, we call $H_{X,Y}(\tau; j|L)$ the *partial Hamming cross-correlation* of X and Y. For any two distinct sequences X, Y over F and any given integer $1 \leq L \leq n$, we define

$$H(X; L) = \max_{0 \leq j < n} \max_{1 \leq \tau < n} \{H_{X,X}(\tau; j|L)\}$$

TABLE II

NEW FHS SETS WITH OPTIMAL PARTIAL HAMMING CORRELATION

Length	Number of sequences	H_{max}	Alphabet size	Constraints	Reference
$\frac{w(q^m-1)}{d}$	d	$\frac{q-1}{d}$	$(q^{m-1}-1+\frac{q-1}{d})w$	$m \geq 3$, $d \mid q-1$, $\gcd(m,d)=1$, $q_1 > q$,	Corollary 4.3
$wp(p^m-1)$	p^{m-1}	p	$p^m w$	$m>1$, and $q_1 > p^m$,	Corollary 4.4
ewv	f	e	$(v-1+e)w$	$q_1 > p_1 - 1$, If v is not a prime with $f>1$ or v is a prime with $f \geq e$,	Corollary 4.5
$p\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1)$	p^{u_1-1}	p	$1+\sum_{i=1}^{s} p^{u_i}p^{u_{i+1}}\ldots p^{u_s}$	$p^{u_2} \geq p^{3u_1+1}u_2$, $s \geq 3$, $p^{u_2} \geq 2^s$, $p^{u_1-1} \geq 5$	Corollary 4.9
$p(2^{p^m}-1)(\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1))$	p^{u_1-1}	p	$2^{p^m}(1+\sum_{i=1}^{s}\prod_{j=i}^{s}p^{u_j})-1$	$p^{u_2} \geq p^{3u_1+1}u_2$, $s \geq 3$, $p^{u_2} \geq 2^s$, $p^{u_1-1} \geq 5$, $2^{p^m} > p^{3u_1} - p^{2u_1}$	Corollary 4.10
$pv(p^m-1)$	f_1	p	vp^m	$p \mid p_i - 1$, $f_1 = \frac{p_1-1}{p} \geq 2$, $p^m > p_1 - 1$, $p^m > 2(1+p)$	Corollary 4.11
$(q'-1)\frac{q^m-1}{d}$	d	$\frac{q-1}{d}$	$(q^{m-1}-1+\frac{q-1}{d})q'$	$d \mid q-1$, $\gcd(m,d)=1$, $gcd(q'-1,\frac{q-1}{d})=1$, $d \geq 2$, $q' > q+1$	Corollary 4.13
$(q-1)p(p^m-1)$	p^{m-1}	p	$p^m q$	$m>1$, $q \geq p^m$, $gcd(q-1,p)=1$, $p^m - 3p \geq 1$	Corollary 4.14
$ev(q-1)$	f	e	$(v-1+e)q$	$q > p_1 - 1$, $v \geq e^3 f^2$, $gcd(q-1,e)=1$, $q \geq 2e+5$, $f>1$	Corollary 4.15

$u_1, u_2, \ldots u_s$ are positive integers such that $u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \ldots \leq u_s$;

q, q' are prime powers;

v is an integer with prime factor decomposition $v = p_1^{m_1}p_2^{m_2}\cdots p_s^{m_s}$ with $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_s$;

e is an integer such that $e \mid gcd(p_1-1, p_2-1, \ldots, p_s-1)$, and $f = \frac{p_1-1}{e}$;

w is any an integer with prime factor decomposition $w = q_1^{n_1}q_2^{n_2}\cdots q_t^{n_t}$ with $q_1 < q_2 < \ldots < q_t$;

d, m are positive integers.

and

$$H(X,Y;L) = \max_{0 \leq j < n} \max_{0 \leq \tau < n} \{H_{X,Y}(\tau; j|L)\}.$$

For any FHS of length n over an alphabet of size l and each window length L with $1 \leq L \leq n$, Eun et al. [15] derived a lower bound, which is a generalization of the Lempel-Greenberger bound [21]. Recently, such a lower bound was improved by Cai et al. [4].

Let S be a set of M FHSs of length n over an alphabet F of size l. For any given correlation window length L, the maximum nontrivial partial Hamming correlation $H(S;L)$ of the sequence set S is defined by

$$H(S;L) = \max\{\max_{X\in S} H(X;L), \max_{X,Y\in S,\ X\neq Y} H(X,Y;L)\}.$$

Throughout this paper, we use $(n, M, \lambda; l)$ to denote a set S of M FHSs of length n over an alphabet F of size l, where $\lambda = H(S;n)$, and we use $(n, \lambda; l)$ to denote an FHS X of length n over an alphabet F of size l, where $\lambda = H(X;n)$.

When $L = n$, Peng and Fan [22] described the bounds on $H(S;n)$, which take into consideration the number of sequences in the set S. In 2012, Zhou et al. [29] extended the Peng-Fan bounds to the case of the partial Hamming correlation. They obtained $H(S;L) \geq \left\lceil \frac{L}{n} \cdot \frac{(nM-l)n}{(nM-1)l} \right\rceil$ and $H(S;L) \geq \left\lceil \frac{L}{n} \cdot \frac{2InM-(I+l)Il}{(nM-1)M} \right\rceil$. Recently, such lower bounds were improved by Cai et al. [4].

Lemma 2.1: ([4]) Let S be a set of M FHSs of length n over F of size l. Define $I = \lfloor \frac{nM}{l} \rfloor$. Then, for an arbitrary window length L with $1 \leq L \leq n$,

$$H(S;L) \geq \left\lceil \frac{L}{n} \left\lceil \frac{(nM-l)n}{(nM-1)l} \right\rceil \right\rceil \tag{2}$$

and

$$H(S;L) \geq \left\lceil \frac{L}{n} \left\lceil \frac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} \right\rceil \right\rceil. \tag{3}$$

Lemma 2.2: ([**?**]) Let S be a set of M FHSs of length n over F of size l. Define $I = \lfloor \frac{nM}{l} \rfloor$. If $nM \geq l$, then

$$H(S;L) \geq \left\lceil \frac{(nM-l)n}{(nM-1)l} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} \right\rceil.$$

Remark: By the Lemma 2.2, we have the two Peng-Fan bounds are identical.

Recall that the correlation window length may change from case to case according to the channel conditions in practical systems. Hence, it is very desirable that the involved FHS sets have optimal partial Hamming correlation for any window length. Cai et al. gave the following definition of strictly optimal FHS sets in [4].

Definition 2.3: An FHS set S is said to be *strictly optimal* or an *FHS set with optimal partial Hamming correlation* if the bounds in Lemma 2.1 is met for an arbitrary correlation window length L with $1 \leq L \leq n$.

When $L = n$, the bounds in Lemma 2.1 are exactly the Peng-Fan bounds. It turns out that each strictly optimal FHS set is also optimal with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds, but not vice versa.

III. Strictly optimal FHS sets

A. A combinatorial characterization of Strictly optimal FHS sets

In 2009, Ge et al. [20] revealed a connection between FHS sets and families of partition-type balanced nested cyclic difference packings.

Set $\mathbf{I}_l = \{0, 1, \ldots, l-1\}$. Let A be a subset of $\mathbb{Z}_v$, we define $m \cdot A = \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} A$, where $\bigcup$ is the multiset union, i.e., the multiset $m \cdot A$ contains m copies of each element of A. Let A, D be subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_v$, we define $\Delta(D) \leq m \cdot A$, i.e., the multiset $\Delta(D)$ contains each element of A at most m times and no elements of $\mathbb{Z}_v \setminus A$ occur. Let $\mathrm{Tr}_{q^m/q}(x)$ be the trace function from $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ to $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Let A, B be two subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_v$. *The list of external difference* of ordered pair (A, B) is the multiset

$$\Delta_E(A, B) = \{y - x \colon (x, y) \in A \times B\}.$$

Note that the list of external difference $\Delta_E(A, B)$ may contain zero. For any residue $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_v$, the number of occurrences of τ in $\Delta_E(A, B)$ is clearly equal to $|(A + \tau) \cap B|$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_j, 0 \leq j \leq M-1$, be a collection of l subsets $B_0^j, \ldots, B_{l-1}^j$ of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, respectively. The list of external difference of ordered pair $(\mathcal{B}_j, \mathcal{B}_{j'})$, $0 \leq j \neq j' < M$, is the union of multisets

$$\Delta_E(\mathcal{B}_j, \mathcal{B}_{j'}) = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbf{I}_l} \Delta_E(B_i^j, B_i^{j'}).$$

If each $\mathcal{B}_j$ is an (n, K_j, λ)-CDP of size l, and $\Delta_E(\mathcal{B}_j, \mathcal{B}_{j'})$ contains each residue of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ at most λ times for $0 \leq j \neq j' < M$, then the set $\{\mathcal{B}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{M-1}\}$ of CDPs is said to be *balanced nested* with index λ and denoted by $(n, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{M-1}\}, \lambda)$-BNCDP. If each $\mathcal{B}_j$ is a partition-type CDP for $0 \leq j < M$, then the $(n, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{M-1}\}, \lambda)$-BNCDP is called *partition-type*. For convenience, the number l of the base blocks in $\mathcal{B}_j$ is also said to be the size of the BNCDP.

In 2009, Ge et al. [20] revealed a connection between FHS sets and partition-type BNCDPs as follows.

Theorem 3.1: ([20]) There exists an $(n, M, \lambda; l)$-FHS set over a frequency alphabet F if and only if there exists a partition-type $(n, \{K_0, K_1, \ldots, K_{M-1}\}, \lambda)$-BNCDP of size l.

For a u-tuple $T = (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{u-1})$ over $\mathbb{Z}_n$, the multiset $\Delta_i(T) = \{a_{j+i} - a_j \colon 0 \leq j \leq u-1\}$ is called i-apart difference list of the tuple, where $1 \leq i \leq u$, $j+i$ is reduced modulo u, and $a_{j+i} - a_j$ is taken as the least positive residue modulo n.

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{B}_X \colon X \in S\}$ be a family of M partition-type CDPs of size l over $\mathbb{Z}_n$, where $\mathcal{B}_X = \{B_0^X, B_1^X, \ldots, B_{l-1}^X\}$, $X \in S$. For two distinct partition-type CDPs $\mathcal{B}_X$, $\mathcal{B}_Y$, and for $0 \leq \tau \leq n-1$, let

$$D_{(X,Y)}(\tau) = \{a \colon 0 \leq a < n,\ (a,\ a+\tau) \in B_i^X \times B_i^Y,\ \text{for some } i \in \mathbf{I}_l\},$$

$$\overrightarrow{D_{(X,Y)}}(\tau) = (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{u-1}),\ \text{where } 0 \leq a_0 < \cdots < a_{u-1} < n \ \text{ and } \{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{u-1}\} = D_{(X,Y)}(\tau),$$

$$d_i^{(X,Y)} = \min_{0 \leq \tau < n} \min\{z \colon z \in \{n\} \cup \Delta_i(\overrightarrow{D_{(X,Y)}}(\tau))\},\ \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq \max\{|D_{(X,Y)}(\tau)| \colon 0 \leq \tau < n\},$$

$$\text{and } d_i^{\mathcal{B}} = \min\{\min_{X \neq Y}\{d_i^{(X,Y)}\}, \min_{X \in S}\{d_i^X\}\},$$

where $\Delta_i(\overrightarrow{D_{(X,Y)}}(\tau)) = \emptyset$ if $D_{(X,Y)}(\tau) = \emptyset$ or $i > |D_{(X,Y)}(\tau)|$. If $X = Y$, then $D_{(X,X)}(\tau), \overrightarrow{D_{(X,X)}}(\tau)$ and $d_i^{(X,X)}$ are the same as $D(\tau), \overrightarrow{D}(\tau)$ and d_i^X, respectively. Note that $D_{(Y,X)}(-\tau) \equiv D_{(X,Y)}(\tau) + \tau \pmod n$ and $\min\{z \colon z \in \{n\} \cup \Delta_i(\overrightarrow{D_{(X,Y)}}(\tau))\} = \min\{z \colon z \in \{n\} \cup \Delta_i(\overrightarrow{D_{(Y,X)}}(-\tau))\}$.

In 2016, Bao et al. [1] revealed a connection between strictly optimal FHS sets and partition-type BNCDPs with a special property as follows.

Theorem 3.2: ([1]) There is a strictly optimal $(n, M, \lambda; l)$-FHS set S with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds if and only if there exists a partition-type $(n, \{K_X : X \in S\}, \lambda)$-BNCDP of size l, $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{B}_X = \{B_0^X, B_1^X, \ldots, B_{l-1}^X\} : X \in S\}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_n$ with $d_i^{\mathcal{B}} \geq \lfloor \frac{ni}{\lambda} \rfloor$ for $1 \leq i \leq \lambda$, where $K_X = \{|B_r^X| : 0 \leq r \leq l-1\}$, $\lambda = \left\lceil \frac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} \right\rceil$ and $I = \lfloor \frac{nM}{l} \rfloor$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_j$ be an $(mg, g, K_j, 1)$-CRDP over $\mathbb{Z}_{mg}$ for $0 \leq j < M$, where $\mathcal{B}_j = \{B_0^j, B_1^j, \ldots, B_{u-1}^j\}$. The set $\{\mathcal{B}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{M-1}\}$ is referred to as an $(mg, g, \{K_0, K_1, \ldots, K_{M-1}\}, 1)$-BNCRDP (balanced nested cyclic relative difference packing) over $\mathbb{Z}_{mg}$, if $\Delta(\mathcal{B}_j, \mathcal{B}_{j'})$ contains each element of $\mathbb{Z}_{mg} \setminus m\mathbb{Z}_{mg}$ at most once and no elements of $m\mathbb{Z}_g$ occur for $0 \leq j \neq j' < M$. For convenience, the number u of the base blocks in $\mathcal{B}_j$ is also said to be the size of the BNCRDP.

One importance of a BNCRDP is that we can put an appropriate BNCRDP on its subgroup to derive a new BNCRDP.

Lemma 3.3: Suppose that there exists an $(mg_1g_2, mg_1, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{M-1}\}, 1)$-BNCRDP of size u_1, $\{\mathcal{B}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{M-1}\}$, such that all elements of base blocks of $\mathcal{B}_j$, together with $0, g_2, \ldots, (\frac{mg_1}{s} - 1)g_2$, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $\frac{mg_1g_2}{s}\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2}$ for $0 \leq j < M$, where $s|m$. If there exists an $(mg_1, m, \{K'_0, \ldots, K'_{M-1}\}, 1)$-BNCRDP of size u_2, $\{\mathcal{B}'_0, \ldots, \mathcal{B}'_{M-1}\}$, such that all elements of base blocks of $\mathcal{B}'_j$, together with $0, g_1, \ldots, (\frac{m}{s} - 1)g_1$, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $\frac{mg_1}{s}\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1}$ for $0 \leq j < M$. Then there exists an $(mg_1g_2, m, \{K_0 \cup K'_0, \ldots, K_{M-1} \cup K'_{M-1}\}, 1)$-BNCRDP of size $u_1 + u_2$, $\{\mathcal{A}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_{M-1}\}$, such that all elements of base blocks of $\mathcal{A}_j$, together with $0, g_1g_2, \ldots, (\frac{m}{s} - 1)g_1g_2$, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $\frac{mg_1g_2}{s}\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2}$ for $0 \leq j < M$.

Proof: Set $\mathcal{D}_i = \{g_2B : B \in \mathcal{B}'_i\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{D}_i \cup \mathcal{B}_i$ for each i, $0 \leq i < M$.

We show that $\{\mathcal{A}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_{M-1}\}$ is an $(mg_1g_2, m, \{K_0 \cup K'_0, \ldots, K_{M-1} \cup K'_{M-1}\}, 1)$-BNCRDP of size $u_1 + u_2$ such that all elements of base blocks of $\mathcal{A}_i$, together with $0, g_1g_2, \ldots, (\frac{m}{s} - 1)g_1g_2$, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $\frac{mg_1g_2}{s}\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2}$ for each i, $0 \leq i < M$.

Since

$$\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}'_i} B \equiv \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{mg_1}{s}} \setminus g_1\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{mg_1}{s}} \pmod{\tfrac{mg_1}{s}},$$

it holds that

$$\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{D}_i} B = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}'_i} (g_2B) \equiv g_2\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{mg_1g_2}{s}} \setminus g_1g_2\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{mg_1g_2}{s}} \pmod{\tfrac{mg_1g_2}{s}}.$$

Since

$$\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}_i} B \equiv \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{mg_1g_2}{s}} \setminus g_2\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{mg_1g_2}{s}} \pmod{\tfrac{mg_1g_2}{s}},$$

we have that

$$\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{A}_i} B = (\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}_i} B) \cup (\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{D}_i} B) \equiv \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{mg_1g_2}{s}} \setminus g_1g_2\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{mg_1g_2}{s}} \pmod{\tfrac{mg_1g_2}{s}}.$$

It follows that all elements of base blocks of $\mathcal{A}_i$, together with $0, g_1g_2, \ldots, (\frac{m}{s}-1)g_1g_2$, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $\frac{mg_1g_2}{s}\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2}$ for each i, $0 \leq i < M$.

For $0 \leq i \neq j < M$, we show that $\Delta(\mathcal{A}_i)$ and $\Delta_E(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j)$ contain each element of $\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2} \setminus g_1g_2\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2}$ at most once.

Since $\{\mathcal{B}'_0, \ldots, \mathcal{B}'_{M-1}\}$ is an $(mg_1, m, \{K'_0, \ldots, K'_{M-1}\}, 1)$-BNCRDP of size u_2 over $\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1}$ relative to $g_1\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1}$, we have that

$$\Delta(\mathcal{B}'_i) \subset \mathbb{Z}_{mg_1} \setminus g_1\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1}, \text{ and } \Delta_E(\mathcal{B}'_i, \mathcal{B}'_j) \subset \mathbb{Z}_{mg_1} \setminus g_1\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1}.$$

Then,

$$\Delta(\mathcal{D}_i) \subset g_2\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2} \setminus g_1g_2\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2}, \text{ and } \Delta_E(\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{D}_j) \subset g_2\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2} \setminus g_1g_2\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2}.$$

Since $\{\mathcal{B}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{M-1}\}$ is an $(mg_1g_2, mg_1, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{M-1}\}, 1)$-BNCRDP of size u_1 over $\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2}$ relative to $g_2\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2}$, we have that

$$\Delta(\mathcal{B}_i) \subset \mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2} \setminus g_2\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2}, \text{ and } \Delta_E(\mathcal{B}_i, \mathcal{B}_j) \subset \mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2} \setminus g_2\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2}.$$

Hence,

$$\Delta(\mathcal{A}_i) \subset \mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2} \setminus g_1g_2\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2}, \text{ and } \Delta_E(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j) \subset \mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2} \setminus g_1g_2\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2}.$$

Hence, $\Delta(\mathcal{A}_i)$ and $\Delta_E(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j)$ contain each element of $\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2} \setminus g_1g_2\mathbb{Z}_{mg_1g_2}$ at most once. This completes the proof. ■

Lemma 3.4: ([1]) Suppose that there exists an $(mg, g, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{M-1}\}, 1)$-BNCRDP of size u, $\{\mathcal{B}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{M-1}\}$, such that all elements of base blocks of $\mathcal{B}_j$, together with $0, m, \ldots, (s-1)m$, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $sm\mathbb{Z}_{mg}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{mg}$ for $0 \leq j < M$, where $s|g$. If there exists a partition-type $(g, \{K'_0, \ldots, K'_{M-1}\}, \frac{g}{s})$-BNCDP of size l, $\mathcal{A}$ such that $d_i^{\mathcal{A}} \geq si$ for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{g}{s}$. Then there exists a partition-type $(mg, \{K_0 \cup K'_0, \ldots, K_{M-1} \cup K'_{M-1}\}, \frac{g}{s})$-BNCDP of size $\frac{gu}{s} + l$, $\mathcal{D}$ such that $d_i^{\mathcal{D}} \geq ism$ for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{g}{s}$.

When we replace the BNCRDP in Lemma 3.4 with a $(g, \{K_0, K_1, \ldots, K_{M-1}\}, 1)$-BNCDP such that all elements of base blocks of each CDP form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $s\mathbb{Z}_g$ in $\mathbb{Z}_g$ where $s|g$, the same procedure yields a new partition-type BNCDP. This proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.4 in [1].

Lemma 3.5: Suppose that there exists a $(g, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{M-1}\}, 1)$-BNCDP of size u, $\mathcal{B}$, such that all elements of base blocks of each CDP form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $s\mathbb{Z}_g$ in $\mathbb{Z}_g$ where $s|g$. Then there exists a partition-type $(g, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{M-1}\}, \frac{g}{s})$-BNCDP of size $\frac{gu}{s}$, $\mathcal{D}$ such that $d_i^{\mathcal{D}} \geq is$ for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{g}{s}$.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{B}_i : \ 0 \leq i < M\}$ and $\mathcal{D}_i = \{B + js : \ B \in \mathcal{B}_i, 0 \leq j < \frac{g}{s}\}$ for each i, $0 \leq i < M$. We show that $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathcal{D}_i : \ 0 \leq i < M\}$ is a partition-type $(g, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{M-1}\}, \frac{g}{s})$-BNCDP of size $\frac{gu}{s}$ such that $d_i^{\mathcal{D}} \geq is$ for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{g}{s}$.

Since all elements of base blocks of $\mathcal{B}_i$ form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $s\mathbb{Z}_g$ in $\mathbb{Z}_g$, we have that $\mathcal{D}_i$ is a partition of $\mathbb{Z}_g$.

Since $\mathcal{B}_i$ is a $(g, K_i, 1)$-CDP over $\mathbb{Z}_g$ for each i, $0 \leq i < M$, we have that $\Delta(\mathcal{B}_i) \subset \mathbb{Z}_g \setminus \{0\}$ and $\Delta(\mathcal{D}_i) \subset \frac{g}{s} \cdot (\mathbb{Z}_g \setminus \{0\})$. Then, $\mathcal{D}_i$ is a $(g, K_i, \frac{g}{s})$-CDP over $\mathbb{Z}_g$ for each i, $0 \leq i < M$.

Since $\{\mathcal{B}_i : \ 0 \le i < M\}$ is a $(g, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{M-1}\}, 1)$-BNCDP over $\mathbb{Z}_g$, we have that $\Delta_E(\mathcal{B}_i, \mathcal{B}_j) \subset \mathbb{Z}_g$ and $\Delta_E(\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{D}_j) \subset \frac{g}{s} \cdot \mathbb{Z}_g$ for $0 \le i \ne j < M$. Hence, $\mathcal{D}$ is a partition-type $(g, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{M-1}\}, \frac{g}{s})$-BNCDP of size $\frac{gu}{s}$.

From the construction, it is easy to see that if $\tau \in \Delta_E(\mathcal{B}_i, \mathcal{B}_j)$, then the orbit cycle $\overrightarrow{D_{(i,j)}}(\tau)$ of τ in $(\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{D}_j)$ is of the form $(a_0, a_0+s, a_0+2s, \ldots, a_0+g-s)$; otherwise $D_{(i,j)}(\tau) = \emptyset$. Then $d_a^{(\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{D}_j)}(\tau) = as$ for $\tau \in \Delta_E(\mathcal{B}_i, \mathcal{B}_j)$. Similarly, it is readily checked that $d_a^{\mathcal{D}_j} = as$ for $1 \le a \le \frac{g}{s}$. Therefore, $d_a^{\mathcal{D}} = \min\{\min_{0 \le i \ne j < M}\{d_a^{(\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{D}_j)}\}, \min_{0 \le j < M}\{d_a^{\mathcal{D}_j}\}\} = as$ for $1 \le i \le \frac{g}{s}$, and $\mathcal{D}$ is the required BNCDP. This completes the proof. ■

B. Some direct constructions of BNCDPs and BNCRDPs

In this subsection, we give several direct constructions for BNCDPs and BNCRDPs such that both of them have a special property.

Let d, m be positive integers such that $m \ge 2$ and $\gcd(m, d) = 1$, q a prime power such that $d | q-1$. Let α be a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$, set $g = \alpha^d$ and $\beta = \alpha^{\frac{q^m-1}{q-1}}$. Clearly, $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \{\beta^i : \ 0 \le i < q-1\}$.

Identifying $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ with the m-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-vector space $\mathbb{F}_q^m$, then each element in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ can be viewed as a vector over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{m-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ be $m-1$ linearly independent elements over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Define a sequence $\mathbf{v_i} = \{\overrightarrow{v_i(t)}\}_0^{\frac{q^m-1}{d}-1}$ of length $\frac{q^m-1}{d}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q^{m-1}$ as

$$\overrightarrow{v_i(t)} = (\mathrm{Tr}_{q^m/q}(\alpha^i a_1 g^t), \ldots, \mathrm{Tr}_{q^m/q}(\alpha^i a_{m-1} g^t))$$

where $0 \le t < \frac{q^m-1}{d}$ and $0 \le i < d$.

In 2012, Zhou et al. [29] obtained the following result based on this construction.

Theorem 3.6: ([29]) Let q be a prime power, d, m positive integers such that $m \ge 2$, $d | q-1$ and $\gcd(m, d) = 1$. Then there is a strictly optimal $(\frac{q^m-1}{d}, d, \frac{q-1}{d}; q^{m-1})$-FHS set S, and $H(S; L) = \left\lceil \frac{L(q-1)}{q^m-1} \right\rceil$ for $1 \le L \le \frac{q^m-1}{d}$.

For $\overrightarrow{b} = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{m-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m-1}$ and $0 \le i < d$, set

$$A^i_{\overrightarrow{b}} = \{t : \ \mathrm{Tr}_{q^m/q}(\alpha^i a_1 g^t) = b_1, \mathrm{Tr}_{q^m/q}(\alpha^i a_2 g^t) = b_2, \ \ldots, \mathrm{Tr}_{q^m/q}(\alpha^i a_{m-1} g^t) = b_{m-1}, \ 0 \le t < \tfrac{q^m-1}{d}\}$$

and $\mathcal{A}_i = \{A^i_{\overrightarrow{b}} : \overrightarrow{b} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m-1}\}$.

By Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.6, $\{\mathcal{A}_i : 0 \le i < d\}$ is a $(\frac{q^m-1}{d}, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{d-1}\}, \frac{q-1}{d})$-BNCDP of size q^{m-1}. Then, it holds that

$$\Delta(\mathcal{A}_i) \le \tfrac{q-1}{d} \cdot (\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^m-1}{d}} \setminus \{0\}), \text{ and } \Delta(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j) \le \tfrac{q-1}{d} \cdot (\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^m-1}{d}})$$

for $0 \le i \ne j < d$.

Since $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \{\beta^i : \ 0 \le i < q-1\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&j\tfrac{q^m-1}{q-1} + A^i_{\overrightarrow{b}} \\
&= \{j\tfrac{q^m-1}{q-1} + t : \ \mathrm{Tr}_{q^m/q}(\alpha^i a_1 g^t) = b_1, \mathrm{Tr}_{q^m/q}(\alpha^i a_2 g^t) = b_2, \ \ldots, \mathrm{Tr}_{q^m/q}(\alpha^i a_{m-1} g^t) = b_{m-1}, \ 0 \le t < \tfrac{q^m-1}{d}\} \\
&= \{t : \ \mathrm{Tr}_{q^m/q}(\alpha^i a_1 g^{t-j\frac{q^m-1}{q-1}}) = b_1, \mathrm{Tr}_{q^m/q}(\alpha^i a_2 g^{t-j\frac{q^m-1}{q-1}}) = b_2, \ \ldots, \mathrm{Tr}_{q^m/q}(\alpha^i a_{m-1} g^{t-j\frac{q^m-1}{q-1}}) = b_{m-1}, \ 0 \le t < \tfrac{q^m-1}{d}\} \\
&= \{t : \ \mathrm{Tr}_{q^m/q}(\alpha^i a_1 g^t) = \beta^{jd} b_1, \mathrm{Tr}_{q^m/q}(\alpha^i a_2 g^t) = \beta^{jd} b_2, \ \ldots, \mathrm{Tr}_{q^m/q}(\alpha^i a_{m-1} g^t) = \beta^{jd} b_{m-1}, \ 0 \le t < \tfrac{q^m-1}{d}\} \\
&= A^i_{\beta^{jd}\overrightarrow{b}}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta^{jd}\overrightarrow{b} = (\beta^{jd}b_1, \beta^{jd}b_2, \ldots, \beta^{jd}b_{m-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m-1}$ for $\overrightarrow{b} = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{m-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m-1}$ and $0 \le j < \frac{q-1}{d}$.

From the proof of Theorem 2 in [29], we have that $A^i_{\overrightarrow{0}} \neq \emptyset$ for each i, $0 \le i < d$. Hence, there exist an element $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^m-1}{d}}$ such that $A^i_{\overrightarrow{0}} = \{s_i + j\frac{q^m-1}{q-1} : \ 0 \le j < \frac{q-1}{d}\}$ for each i, $0 \le i < d$.

Denote $G = \{1, \beta^d, \ldots, \beta^{q-1-d}\}$. Then G is a multiplicative cyclic subgroup of order $\frac{q-1}{d}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$. For $\overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m-1} \setminus \{\overrightarrow{0}\}$, the binary relation $\sim$ defined by $\overrightarrow{x} \sim \overrightarrow{y}$ if and only if there exists a $g' \in G$ such that $g'\overrightarrow{x} = \overrightarrow{y}$ is an equivalence relation over $\mathbb{F}_q^{m-1} \setminus \{\overrightarrow{0}\}$. Then its equivalence classes are the subsets $G\overrightarrow{x}, \overrightarrow{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m-1} \setminus \{\overrightarrow{0}\}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q^{m-1}$, where $G\overrightarrow{x} = \{g'\overrightarrow{x} : \ g' \in G\}$. Denote by R a system of distinct representatives for the equivalence classes modulo G of $\mathbb{F}_q^{m-1} \setminus \{\overrightarrow{0}\}$, then $|R| = \frac{d(q^{m-1}-1)}{q-1}$.

Construction A For $0 \le i < d$ and $\overrightarrow{b} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m-1}$, set

$$B^i_{\overrightarrow{b}} = \{a - s_i : \ a \in A^i_{\overrightarrow{b}}\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{A}'_i = \{B^i_{\overrightarrow{b}} : \overrightarrow{b} \in R\}$$

where $A^i_{\overrightarrow{0}} = \{s_i, s_i + \frac{q^m-1}{q-1}, \ldots, s_i + \frac{(q^m-1)(q-1-d)}{(q-1)d}\}$ for each i, $0 \le i < d$.

Clearly,

$$\mathcal{A}_i = (\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}'_i} \bigcup_{0 \le j < \frac{q-1}{d}} \{A + s_i + j\frac{q^m-1}{q-1}\}) \cup \{A^i_{\overrightarrow{0}}\}. \tag{4}$$

For $\overrightarrow{b} = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{m-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |B^i_{\overrightarrow{b}}| &= \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |A^i_{\overrightarrow{b}}| \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |\{t : \ \mathrm{Tr}_{q^m/q}(\alpha^i a_1 g^t) = b_1, \mathrm{Tr}_{q^m/q}(\alpha^i a_2 g^t) = b_2, \ \ldots, \mathrm{Tr}_{q^m/q}(\alpha^i a_{m-1} g^t) = b_{m-1}, 0 \le t < \tfrac{q^m-1}{d}\}| \\
&= |\{t : \ \mathrm{Tr}_{q^m/q}(a_1\alpha^t) = b_1, \mathrm{Tr}_{q^m/q}(a_2\alpha^t) = b_2, \ \ldots, \mathrm{Tr}_{q^m/q}(a_{m-1}\alpha^t) = b_{m-1}, 0 \le t < q^m - 1\}|.
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |B^i_{\overrightarrow{b}}| = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } \ \overrightarrow{b} \neq \overrightarrow{0}, \ \text{ and} \\ q-1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Theorem 3.7: Let q be a prime power, d, m positive integers such that $m \ge 2$, $d|q-1$ and $\gcd(m, d) = 1$. Let $\{\mathcal{A}'_i : \ 0 \le i < d\}$ be defined in **Construction A**. Then $\{\mathcal{A}'_i : \ 0 \le i < d\}$ is a $(\frac{q^m-1}{d}, \frac{q-1}{d}, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{d-1}\}, 1)$-BNCRDP of size $\frac{d(q^{m-1}-1)}{q-1}$ such that all elements of base blocks of each CRDP, together with 0, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $\frac{q^m-1}{q-1}\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^m-1}{d}}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^m-1}{d}}$.

Proof: For $0 \le i < d$,

$$\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}_i} A = \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^m-1}{d}}.$$

In view of equality (4), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}\bigcup_{A\in\mathcal{A}_i} A &= (\bigcup_{A\in\mathcal{A}'_i}\bigcup_{0\le j<\frac{q-1}{d}}(A+s_i+j\tfrac{q^m-1}{q-1}))\cup A_0\\ &= (\bigcup_{0\le j<\frac{q-1}{d}}(\bigcup_{A\in\mathcal{A}'_i}(A+s_i+j\tfrac{q^m-1}{q-1})))\cup A_0\\ &\equiv \tfrac{q-1}{d}\cdot((\bigcup_{A\in\mathcal{A}'_i}(A+s_i))\cup\{s_i\}) \pmod{\tfrac{q^m-1}{q-1}}\\ &\equiv \tfrac{q-1}{d}\cdot((\bigcup_{A\in\mathcal{A}'_i}A)\cup\{0\}) \pmod{\tfrac{q^m-1}{q-1}}\end{aligned}$$

for $0\le i<d$. Then,

$$\bigcup_{A\in\mathcal{A}'_i} A\equiv\{1,2,\ldots,\tfrac{q^m-1}{q-1}-1\} \pmod{\tfrac{q^m-1}{q-1}}.$$

It follows that all elements of base blocks of $\mathcal{A}'_i$, together with 0, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $\frac{q^m-1}{q-1}\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^m-1}{d}}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^m-1}{d}}$.

It remains to show that $\{\mathcal{A}'_i: 0\le i<d\}$ is a $(\frac{q^m-1}{d},\frac{q-1}{d},\{K_0,\ldots,K_{d-1}\},1)$-BNCRDP of size $\frac{d(q^{m-1}-1)}{q-1}$.

In view of equality (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\mathcal{A}_i) &= \tfrac{q-1}{d}\cdot(\Delta(\mathcal{A}'_i)\cup\{\tfrac{q^m-1}{q-1},2\tfrac{q^m-1}{q-1},\ldots,\tfrac{(q^m-1)(q-1-d)}{(q-1)d}\}),\\ \Delta_E(\mathcal{A}_i,\mathcal{A}_j) &= \bigcup_{\overrightarrow{b}\in\mathbb{F}_q^{m-1}}\Delta_E(A^i_{\overrightarrow{b}},A^j_{\overrightarrow{b}})\\ &= \bigcup_{k=0}^{\frac{q-1}{d}-1}\bigcup_{\overrightarrow{b}\in R}\Delta_E(A^i_{\beta^{dk}\overrightarrow{b}},A^j_{\beta^{dk}\overrightarrow{b}})\cup\Delta_E(A^i_{\overrightarrow{0}},A^j_{\overrightarrow{0}})\\ &= \tfrac{q-1}{d}\cdot(\bigcup_{\overrightarrow{b}\in R}\Delta_E(s_i+B^i_{\overrightarrow{b}},s_j+B^j_{\overrightarrow{b}}))\cup\Delta_E(A^i_{\overrightarrow{0}},A^j_{\overrightarrow{0}})\\ &= \tfrac{q-1}{d}\cdot(s_j-s_i+(\Delta_E(\mathcal{A}'_i,\mathcal{A}'_j)\cup\{0,\tfrac{q^m-1}{q-1},2\tfrac{q^m-1}{q-1},\ldots,\tfrac{(q^m-1)(q-1-d)}{(q-1)d}\})),\end{aligned}$$

where $G=\{1,\beta^d,\ldots,\beta^{q-1-d}\}$. By Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\Delta(\mathcal{A}_i)\le\tfrac{q-1}{d}\cdot(\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^m-1}{d}}\setminus\{0\}),\text{and }\Delta_E(\mathcal{A}_i,\mathcal{A}_j)\le\tfrac{q-1}{d}\cdot(\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^m-1}{d}})$$

for $0\le i\ne j<d$. Then,

$$\Delta(\mathcal{A}'_i)\le\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^m-1}{d}}\setminus\tfrac{q^m-1}{q-1}\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^m-1}{d}},\text{ and }\Delta_E(\mathcal{A}'_i,\mathcal{A}'_j)\le\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^m-1}{d}}\setminus\tfrac{q^m-1}{q-1}\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^m-1}{d}}.$$

This completes the proof ■

Starting with a $(\frac{q^m-1}{d},\frac{q-1}{d},\{K_0,\ldots,K_{d-1}\},1)$-BNCRDP in Theorem 3.8. By adding a block $\{0\}$ to each CRDP, we obtain the following $(\frac{q^m-1}{d},\{K_0,\ldots,K_{d-1}\},1)$-BNCDP.

Corollary 3.8: Let q be a prime power, d,m positive integers such that $d|q-1$, $m\ge2$ and $\gcd(m,d)=1$. Then there exists a $(\frac{q^m-1}{d},\{K'_0,\ldots,K'_{d-1}\},1)$-BNCDP of size $\frac{d(q^{m-1}-1)}{q-1}+1$ such that all elements of base blocks of each CDP form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $\frac{q^m-1}{q-1}\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^m-1}{d}}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^m-1}{d}}$.

Construction B Let q be a prime power and let α be a primitive element. Using the discrete logarithm in $\mathbb{F}_q^*$, define a function from $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$ as

$$\epsilon(x) = log_\alpha(x).$$

Let p be a prime and let m be an integer with $m > 1$. Let α be a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ and denote $R = \{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i\alpha^i \colon a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p, 1 \le i < m\}$. For $y \in R$ and $x \in R$, set

$$A_y^x = \{(\epsilon(y-x-b), b) : \quad b \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{y-x\}\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{A}^x = \{A_y^x : \ y \in R\}.$$

Clearly, for $y, x \in R$,

$$A_y^x = \{(\epsilon(y-x-b), b) : \quad b \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{y-x\}\}$$

$$= \{(a,b) : \ \alpha^a + b + x = y, (a,b) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_p\}.$$

Lemma 3.9: Let p be a prime and let m be an integer with $m > 1$. Let $\mathcal{A}^x$ be defined in Construction B. Then $\Delta(\mathcal{A}^x) \subset (\mathbb{Z}_{p^m-1} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\Delta(\mathcal{A}^x, \mathcal{A}^{x'}) \subset (\mathbb{Z}_{p^m-1} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ for $x \neq x' \in R$.

Proof: For $x, x' \in R$ and $(c,d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$, set

$$N_{x,x'}((c,d)) = \sum_{y \in R} |(A_y^x + (c,d)) \cap A_y^{x'}|$$

$$= |\{(a,b) : \ (a,b) \in A_y^x, \ (a+c, b+d) \in A_y^{x'}, y \in R\}|$$

$$= |\{(a,b) : \ \alpha^a + b + x = y, \ \alpha^{a+c} + (b+d) + x' = y, \ y \in R\}|.$$

Then,

$$N_{x,x'}((c,d)) = |\{(a,b) : \ \alpha^a(1-\alpha^c) = d + x' - x, \text{ and } \alpha^a + b + x \in R\}|.$$

According to the values of x, x' and (c,d), we distinguish six cases.

Case 1: $x' = x$, $c = 0$ and $d = 0$. Since $|\{z+i : \ 0 \le i < p\} \cap R| = 1$ for each $z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, it holds that

$$N_{x,x}((0,0)) = |\{(a,b) : \ \alpha^a + b + x \in R\}| \ = p^m - 1.$$

Case 2: $x' = x$, $c \neq 0$ and $d = 0$. In this case $\alpha^c - 1 \neq 0$. Then

$$N_{x,x}((c,0)) = |\{(a,b) : \ \alpha^a(1-\alpha^c) = 0, \ \alpha^a + b + x \in R\}| \ = 0.$$

Case 3: $x' = x$, $c = 0$ and $d \neq 0$. In this case $\alpha^c = 1$. Then

$$N_{x,x}((0,d)) = |\{(a,b) : \ 0 = d, \ \alpha^a + b + x \in R\}| \ = 0.$$

Case 4: $x' = x$, $c \neq 0$ and $d \neq 0$. In this case $\alpha^c \neq 1$, there exists a unique element $a_c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m-1}$ such that $\alpha^{a_c}(1-\alpha^c) = d$. Since $|\{z+i : \ 0 \le i < p\} \cap R| = 1$ for each $z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, it holds that

$$N_{x,x}((c,d)) = |\{(a,b) : \ \alpha^a(1-\alpha^c) = d, \ \alpha^a + b + x \in R\}|$$

$$= |\{(a_c, b) : \ \alpha^{a_c} + b + x \in R\}|$$

$$= 1.$$

Case 5: $x' \neq x$ and $c = 0$. In this case $\alpha^c = 1$. Since $x, x' \in R$, we have $d \neq x - x'$. Then

$$N_{x,x'}((0,d)) = |\{(a,b) : \ 0 = d + x' - x, \ \alpha^a + b + x \in R\}| \ = 0.$$

Case 6: $x' \neq x$ and $c \neq 0$. In this case $\alpha^c \neq 1$. Since $x, x' \in R$, we have $d \neq x - x'$. Then there exists a unique element $a_c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m-1}$ such that $\alpha^{a_c}(1-\alpha^c) = d + x' - x$. Since $|\{z+i : \ 0 \leq i < p\} \cap R| = 1$ for each $z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} N_{x,x'}((c,d)) &= |\{(a,b) : \ \alpha^a(1-\alpha^c) = d + x' - x, \ \alpha^a + b + x \in R\}| \\ &= |\{(a_c,b) : \ \alpha^{a_c} + b + x \in R\}| \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

In summary, the discussion in the six cases above shows that $\Delta(\mathcal{A}^x) \subset (\mathbb{Z}_{p^m-1} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\Delta(\mathcal{A}^x, \mathcal{A}^{x'}) \subset (\mathbb{Z}_{p^m-1} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{Z}_p$. ■

Theorem 3.10: Let p be a prime and let m be an integer with $m > 1$. Let $\mathcal{A}^x$ be defined in Construction A. Then $\{\mathcal{A}^x : \ x \in R\}$ is a $(p(p^m-1), \{K_0, \ldots, K_{p^{m-1}-1}\}, 1)$-BNCDP of size p^{m-1} such that all elements of base blocks of each CDP form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $(p^m-1)\mathbb{Z}_{p(p^m-1)}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p(p^m-1)}$ where $K_0 = \cdots = K_{p^{m-1}-1} = \{p, p-1\}$.

Proof: By Lemma 3.9, $\mathcal{A}^x$ is a $(p(p^m-1), \{K_0, \ldots, K_{p^{m-1}-1}\}, 1)$-BNCDP. It is left to show that all elements of base blocks of each CDP form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $(p^m-1)\mathbb{Z}_{p(p^m-1)}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p(p^m-1)}$.

Since $|\{z+i : \ 0 \leq i < p\} \cap R| = 1$ for each $z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^x &= \bigcup_{y \in R} A^x_y \\ &= \bigcup_{y \in R} \{(a,b) : \ \alpha^a + b + x = y, \ (a,b) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_p\} \\ &= \{(a,b) : \ \alpha^a + b \in R, \ (a,b) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_p\} \\ &\equiv \mathbb{Z}_{p^m-1} \times \{0\} \pmod{\{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}_p}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. ■

Applying Lemma 3.5 and Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following corollary. In 2016, Cai et al. [5] obtained a $(p(p^m-1), p^{m-1}, p; p^m)$-FHS set. It is easy to check that the $(p(p^m-1), p^{m-1}, p; p^m)$-FHS set are strictly optimal with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds.

Corollary 3.11: ([5]) Let p be a prime and let m be an integer with $m > 1$. Then there exists a strictly optimal $(p(p^m-1), p^{m-1}, p; p^m)$-FHS set with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds over the alphabet $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$.

Remark: In 2016, Cai et al. have constructed such a strictly optimal $(p(p^m-1), p^{m-1}, p; p^m)$-FHS set [5]. Comparing with their proof, ours seems simpler.

Let $\mathcal{A}^x$ be block sets defined in construction B, set $\mathcal{B}^x = \{A^x_x \setminus \{(0,p-1)\} : \ A^x_x \in \mathcal{A}^x\}$ for each $x \in R$. Since $gcd(p, p^m-1) = 1$, we have that $\mathbb{Z}_{p(p^m-1)}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^m-1}$. By using Lemma 3.9 and Theorem 3.10, we obtain the following $(p(p^m-1), p, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{p^{m-1}-1}\}, 1)$-NBCRDP.

Corollary 3.12: Let p be a prime and let m be an integer with $m > 1$. Then there exists a $(p(p^m-1), p, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{p^{m-1}-1}\}, 1)$-NBCRDP of size p^{m-1} such that all elements of base blocks of each CRDP, together with 0, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $(p^m-1)\mathbb{Z}_{p(p^m-1)}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p(p^m-1)}$ where $K_0 = \ldots = K_{p^{m-1}-1} = \{p, p-2\}$.

Lemma 3.13: ([1]) Let v be a positive integer of the form $v = p_1^{m_1}p_2^{m_2}\cdots p_s^{m_s}$ for s positive integers $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_s$ and s distinct primes $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_s$. Let u be a positive integer such that $gcd(u, v) = 1$. Let e be a common factor of $u, p_1 - 1, p_2 - 1, \ldots, p_s - 1$ and $e > 1$, and let $f = \min\{\frac{p_i-1}{e} : 1 \le i \le s\}$. Then there exists a $(uv, u, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{f-1}\}, 1)$-BNCRDP of size $\frac{v-1}{e}$ such that all elements of base blocks of each CRDP, together with 0, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $v\mathbb{Z}_{uv}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{uv}$ where $K_0 = \cdots = K_{f-1} = \{e\}$.

Construction C Starting with a $(uv, u, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{f-1}\}, 1)$-BNCRDP in Lemma 3.13 where $K_0 = \cdots = K_{f-1} = \{e\}$. By adding a block $\{0\}$ to each CRDP, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.14: Let v be a positive integer of the form $v = p_1^{m_1}p_2^{m_2}\cdots p_s^{m_s}$ for s positive integers $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_s$ and s distinct primes $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_s$. Let u be a positive integer such that $gcd(u, v) = 1$. Let e be a common factor of $u, p_1 - 1, p_2 - 1, \ldots, p_s - 1$ and $e > 1$, and let $f = \min\{\frac{p_i-1}{e} : 1 \le i \le s\}$. Then there exists a $(uv, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{f-1}\}, 1)$-BNCDP of size $\frac{v-1}{e} + 1$ such that all elements of base blocks of each CDP form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $v\mathbb{Z}_{uv}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{uv}$ where $K_0 = \cdots = K_{f-1} = \{e, 1\}$.

IV. THREE RECURSIVE CONSTRUCTIONS OF STRICTLY OPTIMAL FHS SETS

A. Construction based on difference matrices

In this subsection, three recursive constructions are used to construct strictly optimal individual FHSs and FHS sets. The first recursive construction is based on the cyclic difference matrix (CDM).

A $(w, t, 1)$-CDM is a $t \times w$ matrix $D = (d_{ij})$ $(0 \le i \le t-1,\ 0 \le j \le w-1)$ with entries from $\mathbb{Z}_w$ such that, for any two distinct rows R_r and R_h, the vector difference $R_h - R_r$ contains every residue of $\mathbb{Z}_w$ exactly once. It is easy to see that the property of a difference matrix is preserved even if we add any element of $\mathbb{Z}_w$ to all entries in any row or column of the difference matrix. Then, without loss of generality, we can assume that all entries in the first row are zero. Such a difference matrix is said to be *normalized*. The $(w, t-1, 1)$-CDM obtained from a normalized $(w, t, 1)$-CDM by deleting the first row is said to be *homogeneous*. The existence of a homogeneous $(w, t-1, 1)$-CDM is equivalent to that of a $(w, t, 1)$-CDM. Observe that difference matrices have been extensively studied. A large number of known $(w, t, 1)$-CDMs are well documented in [11]. In particular, the multiplication table of the prime field $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is a $(p, p, 1)$-CDM. By using the usual product construction of CDMs, we have the following existence result.

Lemma 4.1: ([11]) Let w and t be integers with $w \ge t \ge 3$. If w is odd and the least prime factor of w is not less than t, then there exists a $(w, t, 1)$-CDM.

When we replace the BNCRDP in Theorem 5.2 in [1] with a $(g, \{K_0, K_1, \ldots, K_{M-1}\}, 1)$-BNCDP such that all elements of base blocks of each CDP form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $s\mathbb{Z}_g$ in $\mathbb{Z}_g$ where $s|g$, the same procedure yields a new partition-type BNCDP. This proof is similar to that of Theorem 5.2 in [1].

Theorem 4.2: Assume that $\{\mathcal{B}_0,\ldots,\mathcal{B}_{M-1}\}$ is a $(g,\{K_0,K_1,\ldots,K_{M-1}\},1)$-BNCDP of size u such that all elements of base blocks of $\mathcal{B}_j$ form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $s\mathbb{Z}_g$ in $\mathbb{Z}_g$for $0\leq j<M$, where $s|g$ and $\mathcal{B}_j=\{B_0^j,B_1^j,\ldots,B_{u-1}^j\}$. If there exists a homogeneous $(w,t,1)$-CDM over $\mathbb{Z}_w$ with $t=\max\limits_{0\leq k<u}\{\sum\limits_{j=0}^{M-1}|B_k^j|\}$ and $gcd(w,\frac{g}{s})=1$, then there also exists a $(gw,\{K_0,K_1,\ldots,K_{M-1}\},1)$-BNCDP of size uw, $\mathcal{B}'=\{\mathcal{B}'_0,\ldots,\mathcal{B}'_{M-1}\}$ such that all elements of base blocks of $\mathcal{B}'_j$ form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $sw\mathbb{Z}_{gw}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{gw}$ for $0\leq j<M$.

Proof: Let $\Gamma=(\gamma_{i,j})$ be a homogeneous $(w,t,1)$-CDM over $\mathbb{Z}_w$. For each collection of the following M blocks:

$$\begin{aligned}B_i^0&=\{a_{i,0,1},\ldots,a_{i,0,k_0}\},\\ B_i^1&=\{a_{i,1,k_0+1},\ldots,a_{i,1,k_1}\},\\ &\vdots\\ B_i^{M-1}&=\{a_{i,M-1,k_{M-2}+1},\ldots,a_{i,M-1,k_{M-1}}\},\end{aligned}$$

where $0\leq i<u$, we construct the following uw new blocks:

$$B_{(i,k)}^j=\{a_{i,j,k_{j-1}+1}+g\gamma_{k_{j-1}+1,k},\ldots,a_{i,j,k_j}+g\gamma_{k_j,k}\},$$

where $0\leq j<M, 0\leq k<w$ and $k_{-1}=0$. Set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}'_j&=\{B_{(i,k)}^j\colon 0\leq i<u, 0\leq k<w\},\text{and}\\ \mathcal{B}'&=\{\mathcal{B}'_j\colon 0\leq j<M\},\end{aligned}$$

then the size of $\mathcal{B}'_j$ is uw for $0\leq j<M$. It is left to show that $\mathcal{B}'$ is the required $(gw,\{K_0,K_1,\ldots,K_{M-1}\},1)$-BNCDP.

Firstly, we show that all elements of base blocks of $\mathcal{B}'_j$ form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $sw\mathbb{Z}_{gw}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{gw}$. Since $gcd(w,\frac{g}{s})=1$, we have that $\{c\cdot\frac{g}{s}\colon 0\leq c<w\}\equiv\{0,1,\ldots,w-1\}\pmod w$. It follows that $\{s\cdot\frac{cg}{s}\colon 0\leq c<w\}\equiv\{cs\colon 0\leq c<w\}\pmod{sw}$. Clearly, $\bigcup_{0\leq k<w}B_{(i,k)}^j=\bigcup_{z\in B_i^j}\{z+cg\colon 0\leq c<w\}$. Since all elements of base blocks of $\mathcal{B}_j$, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $s\mathbb{Z}_g$ in $\mathbb{Z}_g$, we have that $\bigcup_{0\leq i<u}B_i^j\equiv\{0,1,2,\ldots,s-1\}\pmod s$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\bigcup_{0\leq i<u}\bigcup_{0\leq k<w}B_{(i,k)}^j&=\bigcup_{0\leq i<u}\bigcup_{z\in B_i^j}\{z+cg\colon 0\leq c<w\}\\ &\equiv\bigcup_{0\leq i<u}\bigcup_{z\in B_i^j}\{z+cs\colon 0\leq c<w\}\\ &\equiv\bigcup_{z\in\mathbf{I}_s}\{z+cs\colon 0\leq c<w\}\\ &\equiv\mathbf{I}_{sw}\pmod{sw},\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Secondly, we show that each $\mathcal{B}'_j$ is a $(gw, K_j, 1)$-CDP. Since $\mathcal{B}_j$ is a $(g, K_j, 1)$-CRDP, we have $\Delta(\mathcal{B}_j) \subset \mathbb{Z}_g \setminus \{0\}$. Simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\mathcal{B}'_j) &= \bigcup_{\substack{0\le i<u,\\ 0\le k<w}} \Delta(B^j_{(i,k)})\\ &= \bigcup_{0\le i<u} \{a-b+cg\colon a\neq b\in B^j_i,\ 0\le c<w\}\\ &= \bigcup_{\tau\in\Delta(\mathcal{B}_j)} (g\mathbb{Z}_{gw}+\tau) \subset \mathbb{Z}_{gw}\setminus\{0\}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mathcal{B}'_j$ is a $(gw, K_j, 1)$-CDP.

Finally, we show $\Delta_E(\mathcal{B}'_j, \mathcal{B}'_{j'}) \subset \mathbb{Z}_{gw}$ for $0 \le j \neq j' < M$. Since $\Delta_E(\mathcal{B}_j, \mathcal{B}_{j'}) \subset \mathbb{Z}_g$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_E(\mathcal{B}'_j, \mathcal{B}'_{j'}) &= \bigcup_{0\le i<u}\bigcup_{0\le k<w} \Delta_E(B^j_{(i,k)}, B^{j'}_{(i,k)})\\ &= \bigcup_{0\le i<u} \{b-a+cg\colon (a,b)\in B^j_i\times B^{j'}_i,\ 0\le c<w\}\\ &= \bigcup_{\tau\in\Delta_E(\mathcal{B}_j,\mathcal{B}_{j'})} (g\mathbb{Z}_{gw}+\tau)\subset\mathbb{Z}_{gw}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{B}'$ is the required BNCDP. This completes the proof. ■

Combining Theorem 4.2, Lemma 3.5 and Corollary 3.8 together, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3: Let q be a prime power, d, m positive integers such that $d|q-1$, $m \ge 3$ and $\gcd(m,d)=1$. Let w be an odd integer whose the least prime factor is greater than q. Then there exists a strictly optimal $(\frac{w(q^m-1)}{d}, d, \frac{q-1}{d}; (q^{m-1}-1+\frac{q-1}{d})w)$-FHS set with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds.

Proof: By using Corollary 3.8, there exists a $(\frac{q^m-1}{d}, \{K_0,\dots,K_{d-1}\}, 1)$-BNCDP of size $\frac{d(q^{m-1}-1)}{q-1}+1$ such that all elements of base blocks of each CDP form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $\frac{q^m-1}{q-1}\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^m-1}{d}}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^m-1}{d}}$. Since w is an odd integer whose the least prime factor is greater than q, there exists a homogeneous $(w,q,1)$-CDM over $\mathbb{Z}_w$. Since the least prime factor of w is greater than $q > \frac{q-1}{d}$, we have $\gcd(w, \frac{q-1}{d}) = 1$. In view of equation (5), we have $\max\limits_{0\le k<\frac{d(q^{m-1}-1)}{q-1}+1} \{\sum\limits_{j=0}^{d-1} |B^j_k|\} = q$. By Theorem 4.2 with $g = \frac{q^m-1}{d}$ and $s = \frac{q^m-1}{q-1}$ yields a $(\frac{w(q^m-1)}{d}, \{K_0,\dots,K_{d-1}\}, 1)$-BNCDP of size $(\frac{d(q^{m-1}-1)}{q-1}+1)w$ such that all elements of base blocks of each CDP form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $\frac{w(q^m-1)}{q-1}\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{w(q^m-1)}{d}}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{w(q^m-1)}{d}}$. By applying Lemma 3.5, we obtain a partition-type $(\frac{w(q^m-1)}{d}, \{K_0,\dots,K_{d-1}\}, \frac{q-1}{d})$-BNCDP of size $(q^{m-1}-1+\frac{q-1}{d})w$, $\mathcal{D}$ such that $d^{\mathcal{D}}_i \ge i\frac{w(q^m-1)}{q-1}$ for $1 \le i \le p$.

Finally, we show $\left\lceil \frac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} \right\rceil = \frac{q-1}{d}$.

Since $m \ge 3$, we have

$$I = \left\lfloor \frac{nM}{l} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{\frac{w(q^m-1)}{d}\cdot d}{(q^{m-1}-1+\frac{q-1}{d})w} \right\rfloor = q-1.$$

Since $m \geq 3$, we have $1 \leq 1 + \frac{q(q-1)}{d} - q \leq (q-1)^2$ and $0 < \frac{w(q-1)(1+\frac{q(q-1)}{d}-q)-(q-1)}{(w(q^m-1)-1)d} < 1$. Then, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\lceil \frac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} \right\rceil &= \left\lceil \frac{2(q-1)\frac{w(q^m-1)}{d}\cdot d - q(q-1)(q^{m-1}-1+\frac{q-1}{d})w}{(\frac{w(q^m-1)}{d}\cdot d-1)d} \right\rceil \\
&= \left\lceil \frac{(q-1)w(q^m-2+q-\frac{q(q-1)}{d}))}{(w(q^m-1)-1)d} \right\rceil \\
&= \left\lceil \frac{q-1}{d} - \frac{w(q-1)(1+\frac{q(q-1)}{d}-q)-(q-1)}{(w(q^m-1)-1)d} \right\rceil \\
&= \frac{q-1}{d}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.2, $\mathcal{D}$ is a strictly optimal $(\frac{w(q^m-1)}{d}, d, \frac{q-1}{d}; (q^{m-1}-1+\frac{q-1}{d})w)$-FHS set with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds. This completes the proof. ■

Combining Theorem 4.2, Lemma 3.5 and Theorem 3.10 together, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 4.4: Let p be a prime and let m be an integer with $m > 1$. Let w be an odd integer whose the least prime factor is greater than p^m. Then there exists a strictly optimal $(wp(p^m-1), p^{m-1}, p; p^m w)$-FHS set with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds.

Proof: By using Theorem 3.10, there exists a $(p(p^m-1), \{K_0, \ldots, K_{p^{m-1}-1}\}, 1)$-BNCDP of size p^{m-1} such that all elements of base blocks of each CDP form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $(p^m-1)\mathbb{Z}_{p(p^m-1)}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p(p^m-1)}$ where $K_0 = \cdots = K_{p^{m-1}-1} = \{p, p-1\}$. Since w is an odd integer whose the least prime factor is greater than p^m, there exists a homogeneous $(w, p^m, 1)$-CDM over $\mathbb{Z}_w$. Since the least prime factor of w is greater than p^m, we have $\gcd(w, p) = 1$. Since $\max_{0 \leq k < p^{m-1}} \{ \sum_{j=0}^{p^{m-1}-1} |B_k^j| \} \leq p \cdot p^{m-1} = p^m$, by Theorem 4.2 with $g = p(p^m-1)$ and $s = p^m - 1$ yields a $(p(p^m-1)w, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{p^{m-1}-1}\}, 1)$-BNCDP of size $p^{m-1}w$ such that all elements of base blocks of each CDP form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $(p^m-1)w\mathbb{Z}_{p(p^m-1)w}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p(p^m-1)w}$ where $K_0 = \cdots = K_{p^{m-1}-1} = \{p, p-1\}$. By applying Lemma 3.5, we obtain a partition-type $(p(p^m-1)w, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{p^{m-1}-1}\}, p)$-BNCDP of size $p^m w$, $\mathcal{D}$ such that $d_i^{\mathcal{D}} \geq i(p^m-1)w$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$.

Finally, we show $\left\lceil \frac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} \right\rceil = p$.

By definition, we have

$$I = \left\lfloor \frac{nM}{l} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{wp(p^m-1)\cdot p^{m-1}}{p^m w} \right\rfloor = p^m - 1.$$

Since $m > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& w(p^m-1)p^m - 1 - (2pw(p^m-1) - p)) \\
&= w(p^m-1)(p^m-2p) + p - 1 \\
&> 0, \text{ and} \\
& 0 < \tfrac{p(2w(p^m-1)-1)}{w(p^m-1)p^m-1} < 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\lceil \frac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} \right\rceil &= \left\lceil \frac{2(p^m-1)wp(p^m-1)\cdot p^{m-1}-p^m(p^m-1)p^m w}{(wp(p^m-1)\cdot p^{m-1}-1)p^{m-1}} \right\rceil \\ &= \left\lceil p - \frac{p(2w(p^m-1)-1)}{w(p^m-1)p^m-1} \right\rceil \\ &= p.\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.2, $\mathcal{D}$ is a strictly optimal $(wp(p^m-1), p^{m-1}, p; p^m w)$-FHS set with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds. This completes the proof. ■

Combining Theorem 4.2, Lemma 3.5 and Corollary 3.14 together, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.5: Let v be a positive integer of the form $v = p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \cdots p_s^{m_s}$ for s positive integers $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_s$ and s distinct primes $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_s$ with $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_s$. Let e be a common factor of $p_1-1, p_2-1, \ldots, p_s-1$ and $e > 1$, and let $f = \min\{\frac{p_i-1}{e} : 1 \le i \le s\}$. Let w be an odd integer whose the least prime factor is greater than $p_1 - 1$. If v is not a prime with $f > 1$ or v is a prime with $f \ge e$, then there exists a strictly optimal $(ewv, f, e; (v-1+e)w)$-FHS set with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds.

Proof: By Corollary 3.14 with $u = e$, there exists an $(ev, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{f-1}\}, 1)$-BNCDP of size $\frac{v-1}{e} + 1$ such that all elements of base blocks of each CDP form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $v\mathbb{Z}_{ev}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{ev}$ where $K_0 = \cdots = K_{f-1} = \{e, 1\}$. Since w is an odd integer whose the least prime factor is greater than $p_1 - 1$, there exists a homogeneous $(w, p_1 - 1, 1)$-CDM over $\mathbb{Z}_w$. Since the least prime factor of w is greater than $p_1 - 1 \ge e$, we have $\gcd(w, e) = 1$. Since $\max_{0 \le k < \frac{v-1}{e}+1} \{\sum_{j=0}^{f-1} |B_k^j|\} = p_1 - 1$, by Theorem 4.2 with $g = ev$ and $s = v$ yields an $(evw, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{f-1}\}, 1)$-BNCDP of size $(\frac{v-1}{e}+1)w$ such that all elements of base blocks of each CDP form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $vw\mathbb{Z}_{evw}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{evw}$ where $K_0 = \cdots = K_{f-1} = \{e, 1\}$. By applying Lemma 3.5, we obtain a partition-type $(evw, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{f-1}\}, e)$-BNCDP of size $(v-1+e)w$, $\mathcal{D}$ such that $d_i^{\mathcal{D}} \ge ivw$ for $1 \le i \le e$.

Finally, we show $\left\lceil \frac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} \right\rceil = e$.

If v is not a prime, we have $v \ge p_1^2 > e^2 f^2$ and

$$I = \left\lfloor \frac{nM}{l} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{evw \cdot f}{(v-1+e)w} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor ef - \frac{ef(e-1)}{v-1+e} \right\rfloor = ef - 1.$$

Since $f > 1$ and $v \ge p_1^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &v(f-1) > v \ge p_1^2 > e^2 f + 1 - ef - e, \text{ and} \\ &0 < \frac{ew(v+e^2 f+1-ef-e)-e}{evwf-1} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\lceil \frac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} \right\rceil &= \left\lceil \frac{2(ef-1)evw\cdot f-ef(ef-1)(v-1+e)w}{(evw\cdot f-1)f} \right\rceil \\ &= \left\lceil \frac{ew(efv+ef+e-e^2 f-v-1)}{evw\cdot f-1} \right\rceil \\ &= \left\lceil e - \frac{ew(v+e^2 f+1-ef-e)-e}{evwf-1} \right\rceil \\ &= e.\end{aligned}$$

If v is a prime with $f \geq e$, we have $v = ef + 1$ and

$$I = \lfloor \tfrac{nM}{l} \rfloor = \left\lfloor \tfrac{evw \cdot f}{(v-1+e)w} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor ef - e + 1 + \tfrac{e-1}{f+1} \right\rfloor = ef - e + 1.$$

Since $e > 1$, $f \geq e$ and $w \geq p_1 - 1 = ef$, we have

$$ew(e^2(f^2 - f) + 2ef + (e^2 - 3e + 2)) > ef,$$

$$ef^3 + f^2 > e^2 f^2 + e^2 - (e-2)ef - (3e-2), \text{ and}$$

$$0 < \tfrac{ew(e^2f^2+e^2-(e-2)ef-(3e-2))-ef}{ew(ef^3+f^2)-f} < 1.$$

Since $v = ef + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\lceil \tfrac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} \right\rceil &= \left\lceil \tfrac{2(ef-e+1)evw\cdot f-(ef-e+2)(ef-e+1)(v-1+e)w}{(evw\cdot f-1)f} \right\rceil \\
&= \left\lceil \tfrac{(ef-e+1)(ef^2+e-2)ew}{(evw\cdot f-1)f} \right\rceil \\
&= \left\lceil e - \tfrac{ew(e^2f^2+e^2-(e-2)ef-(3e-2))-ef}{ew(ef^3+f^2)-f} \right\rceil \\
&= e.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.2, $\mathcal{D}$ is a strictly optimal $(ewv, f, e; (v-1+e)w)$-FHS set with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds. This completes the proof. ■

B. Constructions based on discrete logarithm

Now, we present two recursive constructions for strictly optimal frequency hopping seuqences based on discrete logarithm.

$\epsilon(x)$ is defined in Section III-B.

Lemma 4.6: [] Let q be a prime power. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ be two distinct elements, then

$$\{\epsilon(x-a) - \epsilon(x-b) : \ x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{a, b\}\} = \mathbb{Z}_{q-1} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Theorem 4.7: Assume that $\{\mathcal{B}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{M-1}\}$ is an $(mg, g, \{K_0, K_1, \ldots, K_{M-1}\}, 1)$-BNCRDP of size u such that all elements of base blocks of $\mathcal{B}_j$, together with $0, m, \ldots, (s-1)m$, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $sm\mathbb{Z}_{mg}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{mg}$ for $0 \leq j < M$, where $s|g$ and $\mathcal{B}_j = \{B_0^j, B_1^j, \ldots, B_{u-1}^j\}$. Let q be a prime power such that $q \geq \max\limits_{0 \leq k < u} \{ \sum\limits_{j=0}^{M-1} |B_k^j| \}$ and $gcd(q-1, \frac{g}{s}) = 1$, then there also exists an $(mg(q-1), g(q-1), \{K_0', K_1', \ldots, K_{M-1}'\}, 1)$-BNCRDP of size uq, $\mathcal{B}' = \{\mathcal{B}_0', \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{M-1}'\}$ such that all elements of base blocks of $\mathcal{B}_j'$, together with $0, m, \ldots, (s(q-1)-1)m$, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $sm(q-1)\mathbb{Z}_{mg(q-1)}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{mg(q-1)}$ for $0 \leq j < M$ where $K_i' \subset K_i \cup \{k-1 : \ k \in K_i\}$ for each i.

Proof: For $0 \leq i < u$ and $0 \leq j < M$, let $B_i^j = \{a_{i,j,1}, \ldots, a_{i,j,|B_i^j|}\}$. Since $q \geq \max\limits_{0 \leq i < u} \{ \sum\limits_{j=0}^{M-1} |B_i^j| \}$, for each i we take $\sum\limits_{j=0}^{M-1} |B_i^j|$ distinct elements $x_{i,j,c} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ($0 \leq j < M$ and $1 \leq c \leq |B_i^j|$) and set

$$\eta_i(a_{i,j,c}) = x_{i,j,c}.$$

Clearly, $\eta_i(a_{i,b,c}) = \eta_i(a_{i,b',c'})$ if and only if $(b,c) = (b',c')$ for each i.

For $0 \le i < u$ and $0 \le j < M$, we construct the following q new blocks:

$$B^j_{(i,y)} = \{x + mg\epsilon(y - \eta_i(x)) : \ x \in B^j_i, \eta_i(x) \neq y\},$$
$$\text{where } y \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

Set

$$\mathcal{B}'_j = \{B^j_{(i,y)} \colon 0 \le i < u, y \in \mathbb{F}_q\}, \text{and}$$
$$\mathcal{B}' = \{\mathcal{B}'_j \colon 0 \le j < M\},$$

It is left to show that $\mathcal{B}'$ is the required $(mg(q-1), g(q-1), \{K'_0, K'_1, \ldots, K'_{M-1}\}, 1)$-BNCRDP.

Firstly, we show that all elements of base blocks $\mathcal{B}'_j$, together with $0, m, \ldots, (s(q-1)-1)m$, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $sm(q-1)\mathbb{Z}_{mg(q-1)}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{mg(q-1)}$. Since $gcd(q-1, \frac{g}{s}) = 1$, we have that $\{c \cdot \frac{g}{s} \colon 0 \le c < q-1\} \equiv \{0, 1, \ldots, q-2\} \pmod{q-1}$. It follows that $\{ms \cdot \frac{cg}{s} \colon 0 \le c < q-1\} \equiv \{csm \colon 0 \le c < q-1\} \pmod{sm(q-1)}$. Clearly,

$$\bigcup_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} B^j_{(i,y)} = \bigcup_{z \in B^j_i} \{z + cmg \colon 0 \le c < q-1\}.$$

Since all elements of base blocks of $\mathcal{B}_j$, together with $0, m, 2m, \cdots, (s-1)m$, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $sm\mathbb{Z}_{mg}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{mg}$, we have that $\bigcup_{0 \le i < u} B^j_i \equiv \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, sm-1\} \setminus \{0, m, \ldots, sm-m\} \pmod{sm}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bigcup_{0 \le i < u} \bigcup_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} B^j_{(i,y)} \\
&= \bigcup_{0 \le i < u} \bigcup_{z \in B^j_i} \{z + cmg \colon 0 \le c < q-1\} \\
&\equiv \bigcup_{0 \le i < u} \bigcup_{z \in B^j_i} \{z + csm \colon 0 \le c < q-1\} \\
&\equiv \bigcup_{z \in \mathbf{I}_{sm} \setminus \{0, m, \ldots, sm-m\}} \{z + csm \colon 0 \le c < q-1\} \\
&\equiv \mathbf{I}_{sm(q-1)} \setminus \{0, m, \ldots, sm(q-1) - m\} \pmod{sm(q-1)},
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Secondly, we show that each $\mathcal{B}'_j$ is an $(mg(q-1), g(q-1), K_j, 1)$-CRDP. Since $\mathcal{B}_j$ is an $(mg, g, K_j, 1)$-CRDP, we have $\Delta(\mathcal{B}_j) \subset \mathbb{Z}_{mg} \setminus m\mathbb{Z}_{mg}$. By Lemma 4.6, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(\mathcal{B}'_j) &= \bigcup_{\substack{0 \le i < u, \\ y \in \mathbb{F}_q}} \Delta(B^j_{(i,y)}) \\
&= \bigcup_{0 \le i < u} \{a - b + cmg \colon a \neq b \in B^j_i, \ 0 < c < q-1\} \\
&= \bigcup_{\tau \in \Delta(\mathcal{B}_j)} (mg\mathbb{Z}_{mg(q-1)} \setminus \{0\} + \tau) \subset \mathbb{Z}_{mg(q-1)} \setminus m\mathbb{Z}_{mg(q-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mathcal{B}'_j$ is an $(mg(q-1), g(q-1), K_j, 1)$-CRDP.

Finally, we show $\Delta_E(\mathcal{B}'_j, \mathcal{B}'_{j'}) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{mg(q-1)} \backslash m\mathbb{Z}_{mg(q-1)}$ for $0 \le j \ne j' < M$. Since $\Delta_E(\mathcal{B}_j, \mathcal{B}_{j'}) \subset \mathbb{Z}_{mg} \backslash m\mathbb{Z}_{mg}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_E(\mathcal{B}'_j, \mathcal{B}'_{j'}) &= \bigcup_{0\le i<u} \bigcup_{y\in\mathbb{F}_q} \Delta(B^j_{(i,y)}, B^{j'}_{(i,y)}) \\ &= \bigcup_{0\le i<u} \{b-a+cmg\colon (a,b) \in B^j_i \times B^{j'}_i,\ 0<c<q-1\} \\ &= \bigcup_{\tau\in\Delta_E(\mathcal{B}_j,\mathcal{B}_{j'})} (mg\mathbb{Z}_{mg(q-1)} \setminus \{0\} + \tau) \subset \mathbb{Z}_{mg(q-1)} \setminus m\mathbb{Z}_{mg(q-1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{B}'$ is the required BNCRDP. This completes the proof. ■

Combining Theorem 4.7, Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 3.12 together, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.8: Let p be a prime and let $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_s$ be integers such that $u_s \ge u_{s-1} \ge \ldots \ge u_1$. Then there exists a $(py, p, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{p^{u_1-1}-1}\}, 1)$-BNCRDP of size $\sum_{i=1}^{s} p^{u_i-1}p^{u_{i+1}}\ldots p^{u_s}$ such that all elements of base blocks of each CRDP, together with 0, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $y\mathbb{Z}_{py}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{py}$ where $y = \prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1)$ and $K_i \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, p\}$ for each i, $0 \le i < p^{u_1-1}$.

Proof: We shall prove that there exists such a BNCRDP by induction on s.

For $s = 1$, the assertion holds by Corollary 3.12. Assume that the assertion holds for $s = r$ and consider $s = r+1$. Let $x = \prod_{i=1}^{r}(p^{u_i}-1)$ and $z = \sum_{i=1}^{r} p^{u_i-1}p^{u_{i+1}}\ldots p^{u_r}$, assume that there exists a $(px, p, \{K'_0, \ldots, K'_{p^{u_1-1}-1}\}, 1)$-BNCRDP of size z such that all elements of base blocks of each CRDP, together with 0, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $x\mathbb{Z}_{px}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{px}$ where $K'_i \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, p\}$ for each i, $0 \le i < p^{u_1-1}$. Since $\max_{0\le k<z-1}\{\sum_{j=0}^{p^{u_1-1}-1} |B^j_k|\} \le p^{u_1} \le p^{u_{r+1}}$ and $gcd(p^{u_{r+1}}-1, p) = 1$, applying Theorem 4.7 with $g = p$ and $s = 1$ yields a $(px(p^{u_{r+1}}-1), p(p^{u_{r+1}}-1), \{K''_0, \ldots, K''_{p^{u_1-1}-1}\}, 1)$-BNCRDP of size $zp^{u_{r+1}}$ such that all elements of base blocks of each CRDP, together with $0, x, 2x, \ldots, (p^{u_{r+1}}-2)x$, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $x(p^{u_{r+1}}-1)\mathbb{Z}_{px(p^{u_{r+1}}-1)}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{px(p^{u_{r+1}}-1)}$ where $K''_i \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, p\}$ for each i, $0 \le i < p^{u_1-1}$. By Corollary 3.12 and Lemma 3.3, we obtain a $(px(p^{u_{r+1}}-1), p, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{p^{u_1-1}-1}\}, 1)$-BNCRDP of size $zp^{u_{r+1}} + p^{u_{r+1}-1}$ such that all elements of base blocks of each CRDP, together with 0, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $x(p^{u_{r+1}}-1)\mathbb{Z}_{px(p^{u_{r+1}}-1)}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{px(p^{u_{r+1}}-1)}$ where $K_i = K'_i \cup K''_i \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, p\}$ for each i, $0 \le i < p^{u_1-1}$. So, the conclusion holds by induction. ■

Combing Corollary 4.8 with Lemma 3.4, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.9: Let p be a prime and let $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_s$ be integers such that $u_s \ge u_{s-1} \ge \ldots \ge u_1$. If $p^{u_2} \ge p^{3u_1+1}u_2$, $s \ge 3$, $p^{u_2} \ge 2^s$ and $p^{u_1-1} > 5$, then there exists a strictly optimal $(p\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1), p^{u_1-1}, p; 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{s} p^{u_i}p^{u_{i+1}}\ldots p^{u_s})$-FHS set with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{B}_i = \{\{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}\}$ for each i, $0 \le i < p^{m-1}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{B}_i :\ 0 \le i < p^{m-1}\}$ is a $(p, \{\{p\}, \ldots, \{p\}\}, p)$-BNCDP of size 1 such that $\mathcal{B}$ such that $d^{\mathcal{B}}_a \ge a$ for $1 \le a \le p$. By Corollary 4.8, there exists a $(py, p, \{K'_0, \ldots, K'_{p^{u_1-1}-1}\}, 1)$-BNCRDP of size $\sum_{i=1}^{s} p^{u_i-1}p^{u_{i+1}}\ldots p^{u_s}$ such that all elements of base blocks of each CRDP, together with 0, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $y\mathbb{Z}_{py}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{py}$ where $y = \prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1)$ and $K'_i \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, p\}$ for each i, $0 \le i < p^{u_1-1}$. Applying Lemma 3.4 with $\mathcal{B}$, there exists a $(p\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1), \{K_0, \ldots, K_{p^{u_1-1}-1}\}, p)$-BNCDP of size $1 + \sum_{i=1}^{s} p^{u_i}p^{u_{i+1}}\ldots p^{u_s}$, $\mathcal{D}$ such that $d^{\mathcal{D}}_a \ge a\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1)$

for $1 \leq a \leq p$.

Finally, we show $\left\lceil \frac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} \right\rceil = p$.

Since $s \geq 3$ and $u_1 \leq u_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(p^{u_1}+1)p^{u_2}\dots p^{u_s} &< 1+\sum_{i=1}^{s} p^{u_i}p^{u_{i+1}}\dots p^{u_s} \\ &< (p^{u_1}p^{u_2}+p^{u_2}+2)p^{u_3}\dots p^{u_s} \leq (p^{u_1}+1+\frac{2}{p^{u_1}})p^{u_2}\dots p^{u_s}.\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Since $u_2 \leq u_3 \leq \dots \leq u_s$ and $p^{u_2} \geq 2^s$, we have

$$\prod_{i=2}^{s}\frac{p^{u_i}}{p^{u_i}-1} < (1+\frac{1}{p^{u_2}-1})^{s-1} < 1+\frac{s-1}{p^{u_2}-1}+\frac{2^{s-1}}{(p^{u_2}-1)^2} < 1+\frac{s}{p^{u_2}-1}. \tag{7}$$

Since $p^{u_2} \geq 2^s$, we have $s < pu_2$. Since $p^{u_2} \geq p^{3u_1+1}u_2$, it holds that

$$\frac{p^{u_2}-1}{s} \geq \frac{p^{3u_1+1}u_2-1}{s} > \frac{p^{3u_1}s-1}{s} > p^{3u_1}-p^{2u_1}-4. \tag{8}$$

Then,

$$\prod_{i=2}^{s}\frac{p^{u_i}}{p^{u_i}-1} < 1+\frac{s}{p^{u_2}-1} \leq 1+\frac{4}{p^{3u_1}-p^{2u_1}-4} = \frac{p^{3u_1}-p^{2u_1}}{p^{3u_1}-p^{2u_1}-4} = \frac{p^{2u_1}-p^{u_1}}{(p^{u_1}+1+\frac{2}{p^{u_1}})(p^{u_1}-2)}. \tag{9}$$

In view of inequality (6) and inequality (9), we have

$$p^{u_1}-2 < \frac{p^{u_1}(p^{u_1}-1)}{(p^{u_1}+1+\frac{2}{p^{u_1}})} \times \prod_{i=2}^{s}\frac{p^{u_i}-1}{p^{u_i}} < \frac{(p\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1))p^{u_1-1}}{1+\sum_{i=1}^{s}p^{u_i}p^{u_{i+1}}\dots p^{u_s}} = \frac{nM}{l} < \frac{(p\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1))p^{u_1-1}}{(p^{u_1}+1)p^{u_2}\dots p^{u_s}} < p^{u_1}-1.$$

By definition, we have

$$I = \lfloor \frac{nM}{l} \rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{(p\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1))p^{u_1-1}}{1+\sum_{i=1}^{s}p^{u_i}p^{u_{i+1}}\dots p^{u_s}} \right\rfloor = p^{u_1}-2.$$

It only needs to show that $\frac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} > p-1$.

Since $p^{u_1-1} \geq 5$, we have that

$$p^{3u_1-1}-2p^{2u_1-1} > p^{3u_1-1}-2p^{2u_1} \geq 3p^{2u_1},$$

$$\text{and } \frac{2}{p^{u_1}-2} < \frac{p^{2u_1-1}-p^{u_1}-2}{p^{2u_1}-3p^{u_1}+2}.$$

In view of inequality (6), inequality (9) and the last inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1+\sum_{i=1}^{s}p^{u_i}p^{u_{i+1}}\dots p^{u_s}}{\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1)} &< \frac{p^{u_1}+1+\frac{2}{p^{u_1}}}{p^{u_1}-1} \times \prod_{i=2}^{s}\frac{p^{u_i}}{p^{u_i}-1} < \frac{p^{u_1}+1+\frac{2}{p^{u_1}}}{p^{u_1}-1} \times \frac{p^{2u_1}-p^{u_1}}{(p^{u_1}+1+\frac{2}{p^{u_1}})(p^{u_1}-2)} \\ &= \frac{p^{u_1}}{p^{u_1}-2} = 1+\frac{2}{p^{u_1}-2} \leq 1+\frac{p^{2u_1-1}-p^{u_1}-2}{p^{2u_1}-3p^{u_1}+2} = \frac{p^{2u_1}-4p^{u_1}+p^{2u_1-1}}{p^{2u_1}-3p^{u_1}+2},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(p^{2u_1}-3p^{u_1}+2)(1+\sum_{i=1}^{s}p^{u_i}p^{u_{i+1}}\dots p^{u_s}) < (p^{2u_1}-4p^{u_1}+p^{2u_1-1})(\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1)).$$

Then, it holds that

$$\frac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} = \frac{I(2nM-(I+1)l)}{(nM-1)M} = \frac{(p^{u_1}-2)(2(p\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1))p^{u_1-1}-(p^{u_1}-1)(1+\sum_{i=1}^{s}p^{u_i}p^{u_{i+1}}\dots p^{u_s}))}{((p\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1))p^{u_1-1}-1)p^{u_1-1}} > p-1.$$

By Theorem 3.2, $\mathcal{D}$ is a strictly optimal $(p\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1), p^{m_1-1}, p; 1+\sum_{i=1}^{s}p^{u_i}p^{u_{i+1}}\dots p^{u_s})$-FHS set with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds. This completes the proof. ■

Corollary 4.10: Let p be an odd prime and let $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s, m$ be integers such that $u_s \geq u_{s-1} \geq \dots \geq u_1$. If $p^{u_2} \geq p^{3u_1+1}u_2$, $s \geq 3$, $p^{u_2} \geq 2^s$, $p^{u_1-1} > 5$ and $2^{p^m} > p^{3u_1} - p^{2u_1}$, then there exists a strictly optimal $(p(2^{p^m}-1)(\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1)), p^{u_1-1}, p; 2^{p^m}(1+\sum_{i=1}^{s}p^{u_i}p^{u_{i+1}}\dots p^{u_s})-1)$-FHS set with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds.

Proof: Firstly, we prove that there exists a partition-type $(p(2^{p^m}-1), \{K'_0, \dots, K'_{f-1}\}, p)$-BNCDP of size $2^{p^m}-1$, $\mathcal{A}$ such that $d_i^{\mathcal{A}} \geq (2^{p^m}-1)i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$, where $K'_0 = \dots = K'_{f-1} = \{p\}$ and $f = \frac{2^{p^m}-2}{p}$.

Let r be any prime such that $r|2^{p^m}-1$. We will show $p|r-1$. By Fermat little theorem, we have $r|2^{r-1}-1$. Since $\gcd(2^{r-1}-1, 2^{p^m}-1) = 2^{gcd(r-1,p^m)}-1$ and $\gcd(r-1, p^m) = p^a$ for some nonnegative integer a, we have $r|\ \gcd(2^{r-1}-1, 2^{p^m}-1) = 2^{p^a}-1$. Then, a is a positive integer and $p|r-1$. Applying Corollary 3.14 and Lemma 3.5, there exists a partition-type $(p(2^{p^m}-1), \{K'_0, \dots, K'_{f-1}\}, p)$-BNCDP of size $2^{p^m}-1$, $\mathcal{A}$ such that $d_i^{\mathcal{A}} \geq (2^{p^m}-1)i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$, where $K'_0 = \dots = K'_{f-1} = \{p\}$.

Secondly, we prove that there exists a partition-type $((p(2^{p^u}-1)(\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1)), \{K_0^1, \dots, K^1_{p^{u_1-1}-1}\}, p)$-BNCDP of size $2^{p^m}(1+\sum_{i=1}^{s}p^{u_i}p^{u_{i+1}}\dots p^{u_s})-1$, $\mathcal{D}$ such that $d_i^{\mathcal{D}} \geq (2^{p^m}-1)(\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1))i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$, where $K_0^1 = \dots = K^1_{p^{u_1-1}-1} \subset \{1, 2, \dots p\}$ and $f = \frac{2^{p^m}-2}{p}$.

By Corollary 4.8, there exists a $(py, p, \{K_0, \dots, K_{p^{u_1-1}-1}\}, 1)$-BNCRDP of size $\sum_{i=1}^{s}p^{u_i-1}p^{u_{i+1}}\dots p^{u_s}$ such that all elements of base blocks of each CRDP, together with 0, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $y\mathbb{Z}_{py}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{py}$ where $y = \prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1)$ and $K_i \subset \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ for each i, $0 \leq i < p^{u_1-1}$. By Fermat little theorem, we have $2^p \equiv 2 \pmod p$ for any odd prime p. Then, it holds that $2^{p^m} \equiv 2^{p^{m-1}} \equiv \dots \equiv 2 \pmod p$ and $gcd(2^{p^m}-1, p) = 1$. Since $\max_k\{\sum_{j=0}^{p^{u_1-1}-1}|B_k^j|\} \leq p^{u_1} \leq 2^{p^m}$, by Theorem 4.7 with $g = p$ and $s = 1$ yields a $(py(2^{p^m}-1), p(2^{p^m}-1), \{K''_0, \dots, K''_{p^{u_1-1}-1}\}, 1)$-BNCRDP of size $2^{p^m}\sum_{i=1}^{s}p^{u_i-1}p^{u_{i+1}}\dots p^{u_s}$ such that all elements of base blocks of each CRDP, together with $0, y, \dots, (2^{p^m}-2)y$, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $y(2^{p^m}-1)\mathbb{Z}_{py(2^{p^m}-1)}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{py(2^{p^m}-1)}$. Since $2^{p^m}-2 > p^{u_1}$, we have $f > p^{u_1-1}$. Since there exists a partition-type $(p(2^{p^m}-1), \{K'_0, \dots, K'_{p^{u_1-1}-1}\}, p)$-BNCDP, $\mathcal{A}$ such that $d_i^{\mathcal{A}} \geq (2^{p^m}-1)i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$, by Lemma 3.4 with $g = p(2^{p^m}-1)$ and $s = 2^{p^m}-1$ yields a partition-type $(p(2^{p^m}-1)(\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1)), \{K_0^1, \dots, K^1_{p^{u_1-1}-1}\}, p)$-BNCDP of size $2^{p^m}(1+\sum_{i=1}^{s}p^{u_i}p^{u_{i+1}}\dots p^{u_s})-1$, $\mathcal{D}$ such that $d_i^{\mathcal{D}} \geq ((2^{p^m}-1)(\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1)))i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$, where $K_i^1 = K'_i \cup K''_i \subset \{1, 2, \dots p\}$.

Finally, we show $\left\lceil \frac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} \right\rceil = p$.

In view of inequality (7), inequality (8), we have

$$\prod_{i=2}^{s}\frac{p^{u_i}}{p^{u_i}-1} < 1 + \frac{s}{p^{u_2}-1}, \text{ and } \frac{p^{u_2}-1}{s} > p^{3u_1} - p^{2u_1} - 4.$$

Since $2^{p^m} > p^{3u_1} - p^{2u_1}$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{i=2}^{s}\frac{p^{u_i}}{p^{u_i}-1} &< 1+\frac{s}{p^{u_2}-1} \ < 1+\frac{1}{p^{3u_2}-p^{2u_2}-4}\\ &\le 1+\frac{4}{p^{3u_1}-p^{2u_1}-4}(1-\frac{1}{2^{p^m}})-\frac{1}{2^{p^m}} \ = \frac{(2^{p^m}-1)(p^{2u_1}-p^{u_1})}{2^{p^m}(p^{u_1}+1+\frac{2}{p^{u_1}})(p^{u_1}-2)}.\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

In view of inequality (6) and inequality (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}p^{u_1}-2 &< \frac{(2^{p^m}-1)p^{u_1}(p^{u_1}-1)}{2^{p^m}(p^{u_1}+1+\frac{2}{p^{u_1}})}\times\prod_{i=2}^{s}\frac{p^{u_i}-1}{p^{u_i}}\\ &< \frac{(2^{p^m}-1)(p\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1))p^{u_1-1}}{2^{p^m}(1+\sum_{i=1}^{s}p^{u_i}p^{u_{i+1}}\ldots p^{u_s})-1} = \frac{nM}{l}\\ &< \frac{(2^{p^m}-1)(p\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1))p^{u_1-1}}{2^{p^m}(p^{u_1}+1)p^{u_2}\ldots p^{u_s}} < p^{u_1}-1.\end{aligned}$$

By definition, we have

$$I=\lfloor\frac{nM}{l}\rfloor=\left\lfloor\frac{(2^{p^m}-1)(p\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1))p^{u_1-1}}{2^{p^m}(1+\sum_{i=1}^{s}p^{u_i}p^{u_{i+1}}\ldots p^{u_s})-1}\right\rfloor=p^{u_1}-2.$$

It only needs to show that $\frac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} > p-1$.

Since $p^{u_1-1}\ge 5$, we have that

$$p^{3u_1-1}-2p^{2u_1-1} > p^{3u_1-1}-2p^{2u_1} > 3p^{2u}.$$

In view of inequality (6), inequality (10) and the last inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{2^{p^m}(1+\sum_{i=1}^{s}p^{u_i}p^{u_{i+1}}\ldots p^{u_s})-1}{(2^{p^m}-1)(\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1))}\\ &< \frac{2^{p^m}(p^{u_1}+1+\frac{2}{p^{u_1}})}{(2^{p^m}-1)(p^{u_1}-1)}\times\prod_{i=2}^{s}\frac{p^{u_i}}{p^{u_i}-1}\\ &< \frac{2^{p^m}(p^{u_1}+1+\frac{2}{p^{u_1}})}{(2^{p^m}-1)(p^{u_1}-1)}\times\frac{(2^{p^m}-1)(p^{2u_1}-p^{u_1})}{2^{p^m}(p^{u_1}+1+\frac{2}{p^{u_1}})(p^{u_1}-2)}\\ &= \frac{p^{u_1}}{p^{u_1}-2} = 1+\frac{2}{p^{u_1}-2}\\ &\le 1+\frac{p^{2u_1-1}-p^{u_1}-2}{p^{2u_1}-3p^{u_1}+2}\\ &= \frac{p^{2u_1}-4p^{u_1}+p^{2u_1-1}}{p^{2u_1}-3p^{u_1}+2},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(p^{2u_1}-3p^{u_1}+2)(2^{p^m}(1+\sum_{i=1}^{s}p^{u_i}p^{u_{i+1}}\ldots p^{u_s})-1) < (p^{2u_1}-4p^{u_1}+p^{2u_1-1})((2^{p^m}-1)(\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1))).$$

Then, it holds that

$$\frac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} = \frac{2InM}{(nM-1)M} - \frac{(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} =$$
$$\frac{2(p^{u_1}-2)(2^{p^m}-1)(p\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1))p^{u_1-1}}{((2^{p^m}-1)(p\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1))p^{u_1-1}-1)p^{u_1-1}} - \frac{(p^{u_1}-2)(p^{u_1}-1)(2^{p^m}(1+\sum_{i=1}^{s}p^{u_i}p^{u_{i+1}}\ldots p^{u_s})-1)}{((2^{p^m}-1)(p\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1))p^{u_1-1}-1)p^{u_1-1}}$$
$$> p-1.$$

By Theorem 3.2, $\mathcal{D}$ is a strictly optimal $(p(2^{p^m}-1)(\prod_{i=1}^{s}(p^{u_i}-1)), p^{u_1-1}, p; 2^{p^m}(1+\sum_{i=1}^{s}p^{u_i}p^{u_{i+1}}\ldots p^{u_s})-1)$-FHS set with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds. This completes the proof.

■

Corollary 4.11: Let v be an odd integer of the form $v = p_1^{m_1}p_2^{m_2}\cdots p_s^{m_s}$ for s positive integers $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_s$ and s primes $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_s$ such that $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_s$, and let p be a prime such that $p|p_i - 1$ for each i, $0 < i \leq s$. Set $f = \frac{p_1-1}{p}$. Let m be an integer such that $p^m > p_1 - 1$. If $p^m - 2(1+p) > 0$ and $f \geq 2$, then there exists a strictly optimal $(pv(p^m-1), f, p; vp^m)$-FHS set with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds.

Proof: Firstly, we prove that there exists a partition-type $(pv(p^m-1), \{K'_0, \ldots, K'_{f-1}\}, p)$-BNCDP of size vp^m, $\mathcal{D}$ such that $d_i^{\mathcal{D}} \geq v(p^m-1)i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$, where $K'_0 = \cdots = K'_{f-1} = \{p, p-1\}$.

By Lemma 3.13 with $u = e = p$, there exists a $(pv, p, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{f-1}\}, 1)$-BNCRDP of size $\frac{v-1}{p}$ such that all elements of base blocks of each CRDP, together with 0, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $v\mathbb{Z}_{pv}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{pv}$ where $K_0 = \cdots = K_{f-1} = \{p\}$. Since $\max_{0\leq k<\frac{v-1}{p}}\{\sum_{j=0}^{f-1}|B_k^j|\} = p_1 - 1 < p^m$ and $\gcd(p^m-1, p) = 1$, applying Theorem 4.7 with $g = p$ and $s = 1$ yields a $(pv(p^m-1), p(p^m-1), \{K'_0, K'_1, \ldots, K'_{f-1}\}, 1)$-BNCRDP of size $(v-1)p^{m-1}$ such that all elements of base blocks of each CRDP, together with $0, v, \ldots, (p^m-2)v$, form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $v(p^m-1)\mathbb{Z}_{pv(p^m-1)}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{pv(p^m-1)}$ where $K'_0 = K'_1 = \ldots = K'_{f-1} = \{p-1, p\}$. By Corollary 3.11 and Theorem 3.2, there exists a partition-type $(p(p^m-1), \{K'_0, \ldots, K'_{f-1}\}, p)$-BNCDP of size p^m, $\mathcal{A}$ with $d_i^{\mathcal{A}} \geq (p^m-1)i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ where $K'_0 = \cdots = K'_{p^{m-1}} = \{p, p-1\}$. Since $p^m > p_1 - 1$, we have $p^{m-1} \geq f$. By applying Lemma 3.4 with $g = p(p^m-1)$ and $s = p^m - 1$ we obtain a partition-type $(pv(p^m-1), \{K'_0, \ldots, K'_{f-1}\}, p)$-BNCDP of size vp^m, $\mathcal{D}$ with $d_i^{\mathcal{D}} \geq (p^m-1)vi$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$, where $K'_0 = \cdots = K'_{f-1} = \{p-1, p\}$.

Finally, we show $\left\lceil \frac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} \right\rceil = p$.

Since $p^m > p_1 - 1 = pf$, we have

$$I = \left\lfloor \frac{nM}{l} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{pv(p^m-1)f}{vp^m} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor pf - \frac{pf}{p^m} \right\rfloor = pf - 1.$$

By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\lceil \frac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} \right\rceil &= \left\lceil \frac{2(pf-1)pv(p^m-1)f - pf(pf-1)vp^m}{(pv(p^m-1)f-1)f} \right\rceil \\ &= \left\lceil p - \frac{p(pfv-1+vp^m-2v)}{pv(p^m-1)f-1} \right\rceil. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Since $p^m - 2(1+p) \geq 0$ and $f \geq 2$, we have

$$p^m f - f - pf - p^m + 2 = (p^m - (1+p))(f-1) + 1 - p > (1+p) + 1 - p > 0.$$

Then,

$$0 < \frac{p(pfv-1+vp^m-2v)}{pv(p^m-1)f-1} < 1.$$

It follows from (11) that $\left\lceil \frac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} \right\rceil = p$. By Theorem 3.2, $\mathcal{D}$ is a strictly optimal $(pv(p^m-1), f, p; vp^m)$-FHS set with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds. This completes the proof. ■

When we replace the BNCRDP in Theorem 4.7 with a $(g, \{K_0, K_1, \ldots, K_{M-1}\}, 1)$-BNCDP such that all elements of base blocks of each CDP form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $s\mathbb{Z}_g$ in $\mathbb{Z}_g$ where $s|g$, the same procedure yields a new partition-type BNCDP. Since the proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.7, we omit it here.

Theorem 4.12: Assume that $\{\mathcal{B}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{M-1}\}$ is a $(g, \{K_0, K_1, \ldots, K_{M-1}\}, 1)$-BNCDP of size u such that all elements of base blocks of $\mathcal{B}_j$ form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $s\mathbb{Z}_g$ in $\mathbb{Z}_g$for $0 \le j < M$, where $s|g$ and $\mathcal{B}_j = \{B_0^j, B_1^j, \ldots, B_{u-1}^j\}$. Let q be a prime power such that $q \ge \max\limits_{0 \le k < u} \{\sum\limits_{j=0}^{M-1} |B_k^j|\}$ and $gcd(q-1, \frac{g}{s}) = 1$, then there also exists a $(g(q-1), \{K_0', K_1', \ldots, K_{M-1}'\}, 1)$-BNCDP of size uq, $\mathcal{B}' = \{\mathcal{B}_0', \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{M-1}'\}$ such that all elements of base blocks of $\mathcal{B}_j'$ form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $s(q-1)\mathbb{Z}_{g(q-1)}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{g(q-1)}$ for $0 \le j < M$, where $K_i' \subset K_i \cup \{k-1 : \ k \in K_i\}$ for each i, $0 \le i < M$.

Combining Theorem 4.12, Lemma 3.5 and Corollary 3.8 together, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.13: Let q be a prime power, d, m positive integers such that $m \ge 4$, $d|q-1$ and $\gcd(m, d) = 1$. Let q' be a prime power such that $q' \ge q$ and $gcd(q'-1, \frac{q-1}{d}) = 1$. If $d \ge 2$ and $q' > q+1$, then there exists a strictly optimal $(\frac{(q'-1)(q^m-1)}{d}, d, \frac{q-1}{d}; (q^{m-1}-1+\frac{q-1}{d})q')$-FHS set with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds.

Proof: By using Corollary 3.8, there exists a $(\frac{q^m-1}{d}, \{K_0', \ldots, K_{d-1}'\}, 1)$-BNCDP of size $\frac{(q^{m-1}-1)d}{q-1} + 1$ such that all elements of base blocks of each CDP form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $\frac{q^m-1}{q-1}\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^m-1}{d}}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q^m-1}{d}}$. In view of equation (5), we have $\max\limits_{0 \le k < \frac{d(q^{m-1}-1)}{q-1}+1} \{\sum\limits_{j=0}^{d-1} |B_k^j|\} = q$. Since q' be a prime power such that $q' \ge q = \max\limits_{0 \le k < \frac{d(q^{m-1}-1)}{q-1}+1} \{\sum\limits_{j=0}^{d-1} |B_k^j|\}$ and $gcd(q'-1, \frac{q-1}{d}) = 1$, by Theorem 4.12 with $g = \frac{q^m-1}{d}$ and $s = \frac{q^m-1}{q-1}$ yields a $(\frac{(q'-1)(q^m-1)}{d}, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{d-1}\}, 1)$-BNCDP of size $(\frac{(q^{m-1}-1)d}{q-1}+1)q'$ such that all elements of base blocks of each CDP form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $\frac{(q'-1)(q^m-1)}{q-1}\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{(q'-1)(q^m-1)}{d}}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{(q'-1)(q^m-1)}{d}}$. By applying Lemma 3.5, we obtain a partition-type $(\frac{(q'-1)(q^m-1)}{d}, \{K_0, \ldots, K_{d-1}\}, \frac{q-1}{d})$-BNCDP of size $(q^{m-1}-1+\frac{q-1}{d})q'$, $\mathcal{D}$ such that $d_i^{\mathcal{D}} \ge i\frac{(q'-1)(q^m-1)}{q-1}$ for $1 \le i \le \frac{q-1}{d}$.

Finally, we show $\left\lceil \frac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} \right\rceil = \frac{q-1}{d}$.

Since $d \ge 2$, $m \ge 4$ and $q' \ge q+2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&q'((q^m-1)-(q-1)(q^{m-1}-1+\tfrac{q-1}{d})) \\
&= q'(q^{m-1}+q+\tfrac{q-1}{d}-\tfrac{q(q-1)}{d}-2) \\
&\ge q'(q-1)q^{m-2} \ge (q+2)(q-1)q^{m-2} \\
&\ge q^m-1.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, it holds that

$$I = \left\lfloor \frac{nM}{l} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{\frac{(q'-1)(q^m-1)}{d} \cdot d}{(q^{m-1}-1+\frac{q-1}{d})q'} \right\rfloor = q-1.$$

Since $m \geq 4$ and $q' \geq q+2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & q'(d(q^m-1)-(q-1)(1+\tfrac{(q-1)q}{d}-q)) \\ & \geq q'(d(q^m-1)-(q-1)^3) \geq dq'(q-1)q^{m-1} \geq d(q+2)(q-1)q^{m-1} \\ & \geq dq^m+(q-1)(q^m-2). \end{aligned}$$

Then, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & 0 < \tfrac{(q-1)(q'+\frac{q-1}{d}qq'-qq'+q^m-2)}{((q'-1)(q^m-1)-1)d} < 1, \text{ and} \\ & \left\lceil \tfrac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \tfrac{2(q-1)\frac{(q'-1)(q^m-1)}{d} \cdot d - q(q-1)(q^{m-1}-1+\frac{q-1}{d})q'}{(\frac{(q'-1)(q^m-1)}{d} \cdot d-1)d} \right\rceil \\ & \qquad = \left\lceil \tfrac{q-1}{d} - \tfrac{(q-1)(q'+\frac{q-1}{d}qq'-qq'+q^m-2)}{((q'-1)(q^m-1)-1)d} \right\rceil \\ & \qquad = \tfrac{q-1}{d}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.2, $\mathcal{D}$ is a strictly optimal $(\frac{(q'-1)(q^m-1)}{d}, d, \frac{q-1}{d}; (q^{m-1}-1+\frac{q-1}{d})q')$-FHS set with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds. This completes the proof. ■

Combining Theorem 4.12, Lemma 3.5 and Theorem 3.10 together, we yield the following corollary.

Corollary 4.14: Let p be a prime and let m be an integer with $m > 1$. Let q be a prime power such that $q \geq p^m$ and $gcd(q-1,p) = 1$. If $p^m - 3p \geq 1$, then there exists a strictly optimal $((q-1)p(p^m-1), p^{m-1}, p; p^m q)$-FHS set with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds.

Proof: By using Theorem 3.10, there exists a $(p(p^m-1), \{K'_0, \ldots, K'_{p^{m-1}-1}\}, 1)$-BNCDP of size p^{m-1} such that all elements of base blocks of each CDP form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $(p^m-1)\mathbb{Z}_{p(p^m-1)}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p(p^m-1)}$ where $K'_0 = \cdots = K'_{p^{m-1}-1} = \{p, p-1\}$. Since q be a prime power such that $q \geq p^m \geq \max_{0 \leq k < p^{m-1}} \{ \sum_{j=0}^{p^{m-1}-1} |B_k^j| \}$ and $gcd(q-1,p) = 1$, by Theorem 4.12 with $g = p(p^m-1)$ and $s = p^m - 1$ yields a $(p(p^m-1)(q-1), \{K_0, \ldots, K_{p^{m-1}-1}\}, 1)$-BNCDP of size $p^{m-1}q$ such that all elements of base blocks of each CDP form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $(p^m-1)(q-1)\mathbb{Z}_{p(p^m-1)(q-1)}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p(p^m-1)(q-1)}$ where $K_0 = \cdots = K_{p^{m-1}-1} = \{p, p-1, p-2\}$. By applying Lemma 3.5, we obtain a partition-type $(p(p^m-1)(q-1), \{K_0, \ldots, K_{p^{m-1}-1}\}, p)$-BNCDP of size $p^m q$, $\mathcal{D}$ such that $d_i^{\mathcal{D}} \geq i(p^m-1)(q-1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$.

Finally, we show $\left\lceil \frac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} \right\rceil = p$.

Since $q \geq p^m$, we have

$$I = \left\lfloor \frac{nM}{l} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{p(p^m-1)(q-1) \cdot p^{m-1}}{p^m q} \right\rfloor = p^m - 2.$$

Since $p^m \geq 3p+1$ and $q \geq p^m$, we have

$$q(p^m-2p)-p^m(p+1)+4p>0, \text{ and}$$

$$0<\tfrac{p((p^m-1)(p^m+2q-4)-1)}{(q-1)(p^m-1)p^m-1}<1.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\lceil \tfrac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} \right\rceil &= \left\lceil \tfrac{2(p^m-2)(q-1)p(p^m-1)\cdot p^{m-1}-(p^m-2)(p^m-1)p^m q}{((q-1)p(p^m-1)\cdot p^{m-1}-1)p^{m-1}} \right\rceil \\ &= \left\lceil p-\tfrac{p((p^m-1)(p^m+2q-4)-1)}{(q-1)(p^m-1)p^m-1} \right\rceil \\ &= p.\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.2, $\mathcal{D}$ is a strictly optimal $((q-1)p(p^m-1), p^{m-1}, p; p^m q)$-FHS set with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds. This completes the proof. ■

Combining Theorem 4.12 and Lemma 3.5 with Corollary 3.14 give the following corollary.

Corollary 4.15: Let v be a positive integer of the form $v = p_1^{m_1}p_2^{m_2}\cdots p_s^{m_s}$ for s positive integers $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_s$ and s distinct primes $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_s$ with $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_s$. Let e be a common factor of $p_1-1, p_2-1, \ldots, p_s-1$ and $e>1$, and let $f = \min\{\frac{p_i-1}{e} : 1 \leq i \leq s\}$. Let q be a prime power such that $q > p_1-1$ and $gcd(q-1, e) = 1$. If $v \geq e^3f^2$, $q \geq 2e+5$ and $f>1$, then there exists a strictly optimal $(ev(q-1), f, e; (v-1+e)q)$-FHS set with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds.

Proof: By Corollary 3.14 with $u = e$, there exists an $(ev, \{K'_0, \ldots, K'_{f-1}\}, 1)$-BNCDP of size $\frac{v-1}{e}+1$ such that all elements of base blocks of each CDP form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $v\mathbb{Z}_{ev}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{ev}$ where $K'_0 = \cdots = K'_{f-1} = \{e, 1\}$. Since q be a prime power such that $q > p_1 - 1 \geq \max\limits_{0\leq k<\frac{v-1}{e}+1}\{\sum\limits_{j=0}^{f-1}|B_k^j|\}$ and $gcd(q-1, e) = 1$, by Theorem 4.12 with $g = ve$ and $s = v$ yields an $(ev(q-1), \{K_0, \ldots, K_{f-1}\}, 1)$-BNCDP of size $(\frac{v-1}{e}+1)q$ such that all elements of base blocks of each CDP form a complete system of representatives for the cosets of $v(q-1)\mathbb{Z}_{ev(q-1)}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{ev(q-1)}$ where $K_0 = \cdots = K_{f-1} = \{e, e-1, 1\}$. By applying Lemma 3.5, we obtain a partition-type $(ev(q-1), \{K_0, \ldots, K_{f-1}\}, e)$-BNCDP of size $(v-1+e)q$, $\mathcal{D}$ such that $d_i^{\mathcal{D}} \geq iv(q-1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq e$.

Finally, we show $\left\lceil \frac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} \right\rceil = e$.

Since $q > p_1 - 1 = ef$ and $v \geq e^3f^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&q(v-1+e-e^2f+ef) \\ &= q(v-(e-1)(ef-1)) \geq (ef+1)(v-(e-1)(ef-1)) \\ &= efv+v-(ef+1)(ef-1)(e-1) = efv+v-(e^2f^2-1)(e-1) \\ &> efv.\end{aligned}$$

Then, it holds that

$$I = \left\lfloor \tfrac{nM}{l} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \tfrac{ev(q-1)\cdot f}{(v-1+e)q} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor ef - \tfrac{ef(v-q+eq)}{(v-1+e)q} \right\rfloor = ef-1.$$

Since $f \geq 2$, $v \geq e^3 f^2$ and $q \geq 2e+5$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&v(q-1)f - (efv + e^2fq + vq) \\
&= fqv - e^2fq - efv - fv - qv \\
&= (f-1)qv - (ef+f)v - e^2fq \\
&\geq \tfrac{f}{2}(qv - 2(e+1)v - 2e^2q) \\
&= \tfrac{f}{2}((q-2(e+1))(v-2e^2) - 4e^2(e+1)) \\
&\geq \tfrac{f}{2}(3(4e^3-4e^2) - 4e^2(e+1)) \\
&= 4fe^2(e-2) \\
&\geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$0 < \frac{e(efv + e^2fq + vq - efq - 2v - eq + q - 1)}{ev(q-1)f - 1} < 1$$

Hence, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\lceil \tfrac{2InM-(I+1)Il}{(nM-1)M} \right\rceil &= \left\lceil \tfrac{2(ef-1)ev(q-1)\cdot f - ef(ef-1)(v-1+e)q}{(ev(q-1)\cdot f-1)f} \right\rceil \\
&= \left\lceil e - \tfrac{e(efv+e^2fq+vq-efq-2v-eq+q-1)}{ev(q-1)f-1} \right\rceil \\
&= e.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.2, $\mathcal{D}$ is a strictly optimal $(ev(q-1), f, e; (v-1+e)q)$-FHS set with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds. This completes the proof. ■

V. Concluding Remarks

In this paper, several BNCDPs and BNCRDPs such that both of them have a special property were presented by trace functions and discrete logarithm. Three recursive constructions of strictly optimal FHS sets were obtained. Combing these BNCDPs, BNCRDPs and three recursive constructions, we obtained infinitely many families of new FHS sets having strictly optimal Hamming correlation with respect to the Peng-Fan bounds. Finally, we must point out that it would be possible to obtain more strictly optimal FHS sets from our recursive constructions, provided that we can find new appropriate BNCDPs or BNCRDPs such that both of them have the special property.

References

[1] J. Bao and L. Ji, Frequency hopping sequences with optimal partial hamming correlation, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 62, no. 6, pp. 3768-3783, Jun. 2016.

[2] J. Bao and L. Ji, New families of optimal frequency hopping sequence sets, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 62, no. 9, pp. 5209-5224, Sep. 2016.

[3] Bluetooth Special Interest Group (SIG), Washington, DC, USA. (2003, Nov.). *Specification of the Bluetooth Sysems-Core* [Online]. Available: http://www.bluetooth.org

[4] H. Cai, Z. Zhou, Y. Yang, and X. Tang, ”A new construction of frequency hopping sequences with optimal partial Hamming correlation,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 60, no.9, pp. 5782-5790, Sep. 2014.

[5] H. Cai, Y. Yang, Z. Zhou, and X. Tang, ”Strictly optimal frequency-hopping sequence sets with optimal family sizes,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 1087-1093, Feb. 2016.

[6] W. Chu and C. J. Colbourn, ”Optimal frequency-hopping sequences via cyclotomy,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 1139-1141, Mar. 2005.

[7] J.-H. Chung, G. Gong, and K. Yang, ”New families of optimal frequency-hopping sequences by composite lengths,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 3688-3697, Jun. 2014.

[8] J.-H. Chung, Y. K. Han, and K. Yang, ”New classes of optimal frequency-hopping sequences by interleaving techniques,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 12, pp. 5783-5791, Dec. 2009.

[9] J.-H. Chung and K. Yang, ”k-fold cyclotomy and its application to frequency-hopping sequences,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 2306-2317, Apr. 2011.

[10] J.-H. Chung and K. Yang, ”Optimal frequency-hopping sequences with new parameters,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 1685-1693, Apr. 2010.

[11] C. J. Colbourn, Difference matrices, in: The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz (Editors), CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2007, pp. 411-419.

[12] C. Ding, R. Fuji-Hara, Y. Fujiwara, M. Jimbo, and M. Mishima, ”Sets of frequency hopping sequences: bounds and optimal constructions,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 3297-3304, Jul. 2009.

[13] C. Ding, M. J. Moisio, and J. Yuan, ”Algebraic constructions of optimal frequency-hopping sequences,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 53, no. 7, pp. 2606-2610, Jul. 2007.

[14] C. Ding and J. Yin, ”Sets of optimal frequency-hopping sequences,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 54, no. 8, pp. 3741-3745, Aug. 2008

[15] Y.-C. Eun, S.-Y. Jin, Y.-P. Hong, and H.-Y. Song, ”Frequency hopping sequences with optimal partial autocorrelation properties,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 50, no. 10, pp. 2438-2442, Oct. 2004.

[16] C. Fan, H. Cai, and X. Tang, ”A Combinatorial Construction for Strictly Optimal Frequency-Hopping Sequences,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 62, no. 8, pp. 4769-4774, Aug. 2016.

[17] P. Fan, and M. Darnell, Sequence Design for Communications Applications. London, U.K.: Wiley, 1996.

[18] R. Fuji-Hara, Y. Miao, and M. Mishima, ”Optimal frequency hopping sequences: A combinatorial approach,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 50, no. 10, pp. 2408-2420, Oct. 2004.

[19] G. Ge, R. Fuji-Hara, and Y. Miao, ”Further combinatorial constructions for optimal frequency-hopping sequences,” *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, vol. 113, no. 8, pp. 1699-1718, Nov. 2006.

[20] G. Ge, Y. Miao, and Z. Yao, ”Optimal frequency hopping sequences: Auto- and cross-correlation properties,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 867-879, Feb. 2009.

[21] A. Lempel and H. Greenberger, ”Families of sequences with optimal Hamming-correlation properties,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 90-94, Jan. 1974.

[22] D. Peng and P. Fan, ”Lower bounds on the Hamming auto- and cross correlations of frequency-hopping

sequences," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 50, no. 9, pp. 2149-2154, Sep. 2004.

[23] P. Udaya and M. U. Siddiqi, "Optimal large linear complexity frequency hopping patterns derived from polynomial residue class rings," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 1492-1503, Jul. 1998.

[24] L. Yang, and G. B. Giannakis, "Ultra-wideband communications: An idea whose time has come," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 26-54, Nov. 2004.

[25] Y. Yang, X. Tang, P. Udaya, and D. Peng, "New bound on frequency hopping sequence sets and its optimal constructions," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 57, no. 11, pp. 7605-7613, Nov. 2011.

[26] X. Zeng, H. Cai, X. Tang, and Y. Yang, "A class of optimal frequency hopping sequences with new parameters," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 58, no. 7, pp. 4899-4907, Jul. 2012.

[27] X. Zeng, H. Cai, X. Tang, and Y. Yang, "Optimal frequency hopping sequences of odd length," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 59, no. 5, pp. 3237-3248, May 2013.

[28] Z. Zhou, X. Tang, D. Peng, and U. Parampalli, "New constructions for optimal sets of frequency-hopping sequences," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 57, no. 6, pp. 3831-3840, Jun. 2011.

[29] Z. Zhou, X. Tang, X. Niu, and U. Parampalli, "New classes of frequency hopping sequences with optimal partial correlation," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 453-458, Jan. 2012.